arXiv:math/9912198v2 [math.QA] 3 Jan 2000

SOLUTIONS OF THE KZB EQUATIONS IN GENUS GREATER THAN ONE

B. ENRIQUEZ AND G. FELDER

Introduction. The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard connection ([23, 5, 28]) can be viewed as a flat connection over the complement $\det^*_{g,\nu}$ of the zero-section in the total space of the determinant line bundle over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,\nu\cdot 1^2}$ of genus g curves with ν marked points and tangent vectors ([4]). Projectivization of this connection is the pull-back of a flat projective connection on $\mathcal{M}_{g,\nu\cdot 1^2}$.

The aim of this paper is two-fold. We first construct a flat version of the KZB connection over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,\nu\cdot 1^2}$, when $\nu \geq 1$ (we will set $\nu = n+1$). We then give integral formulas for flat sections of this connection, using the functional parametrization of conformal blocks and the KZB connection introduced in [10].

Construction of a flat connection. More precisely, we consider the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ of systems $m = (X, P_0, t, P_i, v_i)$ consisting of a curve X of genus g, a point P_0, a jet of coordinate t at P_0, and n points P_i with tangent vectors v_i ($i = 1, \ldots, n$). We associate to the data of a semisimple Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, a representation $\mathbb{V}$ of the Kac-Moody loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and irreducible representations V_i of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, the sheaf $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}$ of coinvariants over $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$. We denote by $\mathcal{W}^\infty$ the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ formed of the systems m such that P_0 is not a Weierstrass point of X. The main result of section 1 is the construction of a flat connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}$ on this sheaf, which is smooth over the complement of $\mathcal{W}^\infty$ (Thm. 1.1). $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}$ has a logarithmic singularity around $\mathcal{W}^\infty$, which we compute in sect. 1.3. Moreover, the projectivization of $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}$ is smooth and is isomorphic to the connection of [28].

The proof of these facts is contained in sect. 1. Our main tool is a normalization of the Sugawara tensor (formula (3)), which makes use of direct sum decompositions of spaces of the formal functions and formal one-forms at a non-Weierstrass point of X (Lemma 1.1).

We can therefore think that the curvature of $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}$ is a scalar delta-function concentrated on the Weierstrass locus $\mathcal{W}^\infty$.

Functional parametrization of $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}$. Our next aim is to give explicit expressions for the flat sections of $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}$, in the special case where $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. For this, we use the functional parametrization of this connection that we introduced in our work [10]. In sect. 3, we recall the main results of [10] for the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case.

Date: January 2000.

Our work [10] was inspired by [13], where conformal blocks are parametrized by correlation functions of generating currents of the Lie subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}((t))$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ is the positive nilpotent subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. In [10], we consider twisted analogues of these correlation functions; this means that the nilpotent currents are multiplied by exponentials of elements of $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mathbb{C}((t))$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the Cartan subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. This enabled us in [10] to construct a "functional" sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ with flat connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}}$ over a covering $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$, and a morphism of sheaves with connections

$$\mathrm{corr} : (\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}, \nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}) \to (\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}}).$$

We specialize $\mathbb{V}$ to an induced representation $\mathbb{V}_{-\ell k}$ and the V_i to a lowest weight Verma module $V_{-\Lambda_i}$ (see 3.1).

The fiber of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ over a point of the moduli space is a space of functions $f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g | z_1, \dots, z_N)$, defined on a subset of $\mathbb{C}^g \times (\widetilde{X} - \sigma^{-1}(P_0))^N$, satisfying regularity, symmetry and transformation properties (see sect. 3.3). Here $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ is the universal cover map of X, and N is given by

$$N = \frac{1}{2}[k\ell + \sum_i \Lambda_i].$$

The connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}}$ is expressed by (20), (21) and (22). In the case $N = 0$, the formula for $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}}$ is an analogue of the Lamé equation.

Connections on Ω_X. The expression of $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}}$ involves a connection $D^{(\lambda)}$ on the canonical bundle Ω_X on X, depending on $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^g$, which is defined by the formula

$$(D^{(\lambda)}\omega)(z) = -\lim\nolimits_{z' \to z} \left(G_\lambda(z, z')\omega(z') + G_\lambda(z', z)\omega(z) \right),$$

where $G_\lambda(z, z')$ is the twisted Green function on X corresponding to λ (see (11)).

By a connection ∇_Ω on Ω_X, we understand the data of a divisor D on X and a collection of compatible maps $\Gamma(U, \Omega_X) \to \Gamma(U, \Omega_X^{\otimes 2}(D))$ for each open subset of X, satisfying the Leibniz rule.

Any connection ∇_Ω on Ω_X can be expressed in the form

$$\nabla_\Omega \omega = \alpha_\nabla d(\omega/\alpha_\nabla),$$

where α_∇ is some form on the universal cover of $X - D$.

The aim of sect. 2 is to compute the form α_∇ associated with the connection $D^{(\lambda)}$. This is done in Prop. 2.2.

Flat sections of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$. As we have seen, to describe flat connections of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}$ is the same as

(i) describing the flat sections of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$, and

(ii) characterizing the image of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$.

In this paper, we only say some words about problem (ii) in the case of integrable modules (see Remark 12).

We solve (i) completely in the case $N = 0$ (sections 4 and 5). Out main results are Thm. 4.1 and Thm. 5.1, where we show that flat sections correspond bijectively to solutions of a heat equation. This result is analogous to that of [15] in the elliptic case $g = 1$; it is also close to the formulas of Verlinde and Verlinde ([9]). Our formulas also involve functions $\alpha(m, P_0, v_0)$ and $\beta(m, P_0, v_0)$ on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$, which are determined by differential equations (Thm. 4.1, Prop. 5.1). They are homogeneous of fixed degree in the variable v_0. Their exact meaning is unclear to us, but we propose conjectures about them in Remark 7.

In the case $N > 0$, we construct flat sections of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ as integrals over twisted cycles over powers of X of the functions obtained in Thm. 5.1 (Thm. 6.1). Our main tool is the result that some flat sections of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_i)}$ can be constructed as integrals of flat sections of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_i),V_{-2},\dots,V_{-2}}$ (Prop. 6.1). This result generalizes those of Cherednik ([7]) in the case of genus 0 and Varchenko and the second author ([16]) in the case $g = 1$. (An abstract version of this result can also be found in the work [6]). We then obtain our expression of flat sections of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ in Thm. 6.1. This expression involves differential-evaluation operators $\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}(w_1, \dots , w_n|z_1, \dots , z_n)$, which correspond to the insertion of a product $\prod_{j=1}^{p} f(w_j) \prod_{j=1}^{p} e(z_j)$ in correlation functions (Lemma 6.1). It seems that comparing our formulas with explicit formulas in the case $k = 1$ would yield integral identities, as it was done in [16].

In section 6.2.2, we write an example of our formulas for a simple case ($g = 2$).

. Let us now say some words on the topological aspects of our work.

Representations of the mapping class group. The following three types of representations of the mapping class group (MCG) are usually thought to be closely related:

(a) in [21], Kohno constructed projective representations of the MCG using braiding and fusing matrices of the genus zero KZ equations, and the method of Moore and Seiberg [26] for constructing such representations;

(b) in [24], Lyubashenko, relying on work of Reshetikhin-Turaev ([27]), constructed projective representations of the MCG using the representation theory of quantum groups at roots of unity;

(c) the monodromy of the KZB connection also provides projective representations of the MCG.

The identification between representations of the MCG of (a) and (b) should follow from the identification of the fusing and braiding matrices, which can be checked in genera 0 and 1.

On the other hand, our integral formulas might serve to understand the connection (b)-(c). This could be done using the geometric interpretation of quantum groups of [8]. The identification (b)-(c) would be an analogue of the Kazhdan-Lusztig equivalence in genus ≥ 1 ([20]).

Extensions of the mapping class group. As we have seen, the KZB connection constructed in [4] gives representations of $\pi_1(\det^*_{g,\nu})$, which is an extension of the MCG. In [18], Harer showed that the MCG is perfect and computed its universal central extension (the central extensions of the MCG arising in natural projective representations were later computed by Masbaum and Roberts [25]). It is natural to believe that $\pi_1(\det^*_{g,\nu})$ identifies with this universal central extension.

On the other hand, if we denote by $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{g,\nu\cdot 1^2}$ formed of the moduli such that the first point is not Weierstrass, Thm. 1.1 yields representations of $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{g,\nu\cdot 1^2} - \mathcal{W}^{(2)})$. $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{g,\nu\cdot 1^2} - \mathcal{W}^{(2)})$ is also an extension of MCG.

Projectivizations of both representations yield coinciding representations of the MCG. It is therefore natural to think that

(a) the fundamental groups $\pi_1(\det^*_{g,\nu})$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{g,\nu\cdot 1^2} - \mathcal{W}^{(2)})$ coincide and

(b) the representations of these groups provided by [4] and Thm. 1.1 coincide.

. We would like to thank B. Feigin for discussions about this paper. The first author would also like to thank A.-S. Sznitman for invitations to the FIM (ETHZ) in 1998 and June 1999, as well as A. Alekseev for an invitation to ESI (Vienna) in August 1999, during which a part of this work was done.

1. Flat version of the KZB connection and Weierstrass points

Notation . For P_0 a point of a curve X, and ω a formal differential at P_0, we denote by $\langle\omega\rangle$ its residue $\mathrm{res}_{P_0}(\omega)$ at the point P_0. For ξ a vector and $\omega^{(2)}$ a quadratic differential at the neighborhood of P_0, we set $\langle\xi,\omega^{(2)}\rangle = \langle\xi\omega^{(2)}\rangle$. In the same way, if f is a function at the neighborhood of P_0, we set $\langle f,\omega\rangle = \langle f\omega\rangle$. If f and ω depend on variables z and t, we will write $\langle f,\omega\rangle_z$ for $\mathrm{res}_{z=P_0}[(f\omega)(z,t)]$.

If α is a differential of order k at P_0 and v_0 is a tangent vector at P_0, we denote by (α, v_0) the evaluation of v_0 on α. This expression is homogeneous of degree k in v_0.

Finally, if α is a formal differential of order k at P_0, we define its valuation as the smallest integer k such that $t^k\alpha$ is regular at P_0, where t is a local coordinate at P_0, and we denote it by $\mathrm{val}(\alpha)$.

1.1. Weierstrass points.

Let X be a compact complex curve; let us denote its genus by g. A point P_0 of X is not a Weierstrass point if there are holomorphic differentials on X with valuations $0, 1, \cdots, g-1$ at P_0; in other words, the Wronskian of holomorphic differentials does not vanish at P_0.

Let P_0 be an arbitrary point of X, and let t be a formal coordinate on X at P_0. Let R be the subring of $\mathbb{C}((t))$, formed of the Laurent expansions at P_0 of the functions on X regular outside P_0. Let Ω^{out} be the subspace of $\mathbb{C}((t))dt$ formed by the forms on X, regular outside P_0.

Lemma 1.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

1) P_0 is not a Weierstrass point of X.

2) We have direct sum decompositions

$$\mathbb{C}((t))dt = \Omega^{out} \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}t^{-1}dt \oplus t^g\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt\right) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}((t)) = R \oplus \left(\oplus_{i=-g}^{-1}\mathbb{C}t^i \oplus t\mathbb{C}[[t]]\right). \qquad (2)$$

Proof. Let us show the equivalence of 1) and decomposition (1). Let us study $\Omega^{out} \cap (\mathbb{C}t^{-1}dt + t^g\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt)$. Let ω belong to this intersection. Then ω has the expansion $\omega_{-1}t^{-1}dt + \sum_{i\geq g}\omega_i t^i dt$. Since $\text{res}_{P_0}(\omega) = 0$, we have $\omega_{-1} = 0$. Therefore the valuation at P_0 of ω is $\geq g$. It follows that $\Omega^{out} \cap (\mathbb{C}t^{-1}dt + t^g\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt)$ consists of all regular forms on X whose valuation at P_0 is ≥ 0. This is zero iff P_0 is not Weierstrass.

Let us now show that (1) implies (2). We will first show that

$$R \cap \left(\oplus_{i=-g}^{-1}\mathbb{C}t^i \oplus t\mathbb{C}[[t]]\right) = 0.$$

Assume that this intersection is nonzero, and let ϕ be a nonzero function in this intersection. Let j be its valuation at P_0. j belongs to $\{-1, \dots, -g\}$. Since P_0 is Weierstrass, we have a holomorphic differential ω on X with valuation at P_0 equal to $-j-1$. Then $\phi\omega$ is a holomorphic differential on X with nonzero residue at P_0, a contradiction. It follows that $R \cap \left(\oplus_{i=-g}^{-1}\mathbb{C}t^i \oplus t\mathbb{C}[[t]]\right) = 0$.

(2) now follows from the facts that Ω^{out} and R on one side, and $(\mathbb{C}t^{-1}dt \oplus t^g\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt)$ and $\left(\oplus_{i=-g}^{-1}\mathbb{C}t^i \oplus t\mathbb{C}[[t]]\right)$ on the other side, are each other's annihilators for the residue pairing. □

1.2. "Weierstrass" normalization of the Sugawara tensor.

The residue defines a nondegenerate pairing between $\mathbb{C}((t))$ and $\mathbb{C}((t))dt$. Assume that P_0 is not a Weierstrass point of X. As we have seen, the spaces R and Ω^{out}, and

$$\left(\oplus_{i=-g}^{-1}\mathbb{C}t^i \oplus t\mathbb{C}[[t]]\right) \text{ and } \left(\mathbb{C}t^{-1}dt \oplus t^g\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt\right)$$

are annihilators of each other for this pairing.

Let (ω_i) and (r_i) be bases of

$$\left(\oplus_{i=-g}^{-1}\mathbb{C}t^i \oplus t\mathbb{C}[[t]]\right) \text{ and } \left(\mathbb{C}t^{-1}dt \oplus t^g\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt\right),$$

such that the sequences $(\text{val}(r_i))_i$ and $(\text{val}(\omega_i))_i$ tend to infinity, and let (ω^i) and (r^i) be the dual bases of Ω^{out} and R. We have the equality (in $\mathbb{C}[[z^{\pm1}, w^{\pm1}]]dw$)

$$\delta(z,w)dz = \sum_i \omega^i(z)r_i(w) + \sum_i \omega_i(z)r^i(w),$$

where $\delta(z,w)dw = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} z^i w^{-i-1}dw$.

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra with nondegenerate invariant bilinear form $\langle,\rangle_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}$, and define $\mathfrak{g}$ as the canonical central extension of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}((t))$; it is the direct sum $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}((t)) \oplus \mathbb{C}K$, endowed with the Lie bracket such that K is central and

$$[x \otimes f, x' \otimes f'] = [x, x'] \otimes ff' + \langle x, x'\rangle_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}\langle df f'\rangle K.$$

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be any $\mathfrak{g}$-module on which the Lie subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ acts locally finitely. We will denote by $x[f]$ the element $(x \otimes f, 0)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ for x in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, f in $\mathbb{C}((t))$.

Define, for ξ in $\mathbb{C}((t))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$,

$$T_R[\xi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_\alpha\sum_i \left(x^\alpha[\xi\omega^i]x^\alpha[r_i] + x^\alpha[r^i]x^\alpha[\xi\omega_i]\right), \tag{3}$$

with (x^α) an orthonormal basis of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\kappa = k + h^\vee$, $h^\vee$ the dual Coxeter number of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. We call $T_R[\xi]$ the normalized Sugawara tensor.

Then we have, for any f in $\mathbb{C}((t))$,

$$[T_R[\xi], x[f]] = -x[\xi(f)], \tag{4}$$

where $\xi(f)$ denotes the action of the vector field ξ on the function f (the product of a form ω and a vector ξ, which is a function, is simply denoted $\xi\omega$; we have therefore $\xi(f) = \xi df$).

Define $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$ as image of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes R$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ by the map $x \otimes f \mapsto x[f]$; this is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

One of the useful features of $T_R[\xi]$ is:

Lemma 1.2. *If ξ is a vector field on X, regular outside P_0, $T_R[\xi]$ belongs to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)U\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. The follows from the fact that $\xi\omega^i$ belongs to R. □

1.2.1. *Another expression of the normalized Sugawara tensor.* Here and below, $d_{z'}$ denotes the partial exterior differentiation with respect to the variable z'.

Lemma 1.3. *Set*

$$\bar{\omega}(z, z') = \sum_i \omega^i(z) dr_i(z'). \tag{5}$$

Define $T_{\bar{\omega}}(z)$ as

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa}\lim_{z'\to z}\left[\sum_\alpha x^\alpha(z)x^\alpha(z') - k(\dim\bar{\mathfrak{g}})\bar{\omega}(z,z')\right].$$

Then the matrix elements of $T_R[\xi]$ and $\langle\xi, T_{\bar{\omega}}(z)\rangle$ in any highest weight representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ are well-defined and coincide.

Proof. Set

$$x(z) = \sum_i x[\alpha^i]\epsilon_i(z), \quad x(z)_{z\to\Omega^{in}} = \sum_i x[r^i]\omega_i(z), \quad x(z)_{z\to\Omega^{out}} = \sum_i x[r_i]\omega^i(z),$$

for $(\alpha^i), (\epsilon_i)$ dual bases of $\mathbb{C}((t))dt$ and $\mathbb{C}((t))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}2\kappa T_{\bar{\omega}}(z) = &\sum_\alpha x^\alpha(z)_{z\to\Omega^{out}}x^\alpha(z) + x^\alpha(z)x^\alpha(z)_{z\to\Omega^{in}} \\ &+ \lim_{z'\to z}\sum_\alpha [x^\alpha(z)_{z\to\Omega^{out}}, x^\alpha(z')] - k(\dim\bar{\mathfrak{g}})\bar{\omega}(z,z').\end{aligned}$$

As the limit vanishes, we have

$$T_{\bar{\omega}}(z) = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_\alpha x^\alpha(z)_{z\to\Omega^{out}} x^\alpha(z) + x^\alpha(z)x^\alpha(z)_{z\to\Omega^{in}}.$$

We have then

$$\langle T_{\bar{\omega}}(z), \xi\rangle = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_\alpha x^\alpha[r^i]x^\alpha[\epsilon^j]\langle\omega_i\alpha_j, \xi\rangle + x^\alpha[\epsilon^j]x^\alpha[r_i]\langle\omega^i\alpha_j, \xi\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_i x^\alpha[r^i]x^\alpha[\xi\omega_i] + x^\alpha[\xi\omega^i]x^\alpha[r_i] = T_R[\xi].$$

□

1.3. **Vector fields on moduli spaces.**

Let g, n be integers ≥ 0. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ be the space of isomorphism classes of systems

$$m = (X, t, P_1, v_1, \dots, P_n, v_n)$$

of a genus g curve X, a marked point P_0 with a coordinate t at P_0, and other marked points $P_1, \dots, P_n$ distinct from P_0 together with tangent vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$ at these points. We will denote $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,0\cdot 1^2}$ simply as $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty}$; it is the space of isomorphism classes of pairs

$$m_\infty = (X, t);$$

$\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty}$ can also be viewed as the space of subrings R of the Laurent series field $\mathbb{C}((t))$, such that R is isomorphic to a coordinate ring $H^0(X - \{P_0\}, \mathcal{O}_X)$, with X a curve of genus g, and the inclusion $R \subset \mathbb{C}((t))$ is the inclusion of R in the local field of X at P_0.

In the same way, $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ can be identified with the space of systems $m = [R, \bar{\chi}_1, \dots, \bar{\chi}_n]$, where $R \subset \mathbb{C}((t))$ is the same subring of $\mathbb{C}((t))$ as above, and $\bar{\chi}_i$ are morphisms from R to $\mathbb{C}[\eta]/(\eta^2)$. (Indeed, a morphism $\bar{\chi}$ from R to $\mathbb{C}[\eta]/(\eta^2)$ is the same as the data of a pair $(\chi, \partial_{\bar{\chi}})$ of a morphism χ from R to $\mathbb{C}$ and a map $\partial_{\bar{\chi}}$ from R to $\mathbb{C}$, such that $\partial_{\bar{\chi}}(fg) = f\partial_{\bar{\chi}}(g) + \partial_{\bar{\chi}}(f)g$; χ corresponds to a point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and $\partial_{\bar{\chi}}$ to a tangent vector at this point.)

Define $\xi \mapsto [\xi]$ as the map from $\mathbb{C}((t))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ to $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2})$, such that for ξ in $\mathbb{C}((t))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, the infinitesimal translate of $[R, \bar{\chi}_1, \dots, \bar{\chi}_n]$ by $[\xi]$ is $[(1+\epsilon\xi)(R) \subset \mathbb{C}((t)), \bar{\chi}_1 \circ (1-\epsilon\xi), \dots, \bar{\chi}_n \circ (1-\epsilon\xi)]$.

Proposition 1.1. *(*[1, 3, 19, 22]*) $\xi \mapsto [\xi]$ defines a Lie algebra morphism from $\mathbb{C}((t))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ to $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2})$. Let ev_m be the evaluation of a vector field over $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ at the point $m \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$. The kernel of $\xi \mapsto \mathrm{ev}_m([\xi])$ is the space of vector fields ξ, which admit a regular continuation to $X - \{P_0\}$ and vanish to second order at the P_s.*

1.4. **The flat connection $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}, \nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)})$.**

1.4.1. *The sheaf* $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n}$ be the space of isomorphism classes of systems $m = (X, t, P_s)$ of a genus g curve X, a point P_0 on it, with a local coordinate t, and of n distinct points P_s, distinct from P_0. $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n}$ can be viewed as the space of systems $m = [R, \chi_s]$ of a subring R of $\mathbb{C}((t))$ as above, and of a collection of n morphisms $(\chi_s)_{s=1,\dots,n}$ from R to $\mathbb{C}$. Let p be the morphism from $\mathbb{C}[\eta]/(\eta^2)$ to $\mathbb{C}$, such that $p(\eta) = 0$. We have a natural projection $\pi_{2\to 1}$ from $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n}$, such that $\pi_{2\to 1}([R, \bar\chi_s]) = [R, p \circ \bar\chi_s]$.

Say that a representation $(\rho_{\mathbb{V}}, \mathbb{V})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is of the class (C) if the following conditions are satisfied:

1) for any $v \in \mathbb{V}$, there exists an integer $n(v)$ such that if $n \geq n(v)$, $\rho_{\mathbb{V}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes t^n)(v) = 0$; (this implies that the components of the Sugawara tensor act as well-defined endomorphisms of $\mathbb{V}$);

2) let T_0 be the zero-mode of the Sugawara tensor. For n and d integer numbers, let us set $\mathbb{V}[n, d] = \mathrm{Ker}(\rho_{\mathbb{V}}(T_0) - d) \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\rho_{\mathbb{V}}(h[1]) - n)$; then $\mathbb{V} = \oplus_{n,d\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{V}[n, d]$, and for any integer n, there is $d(n)$ such that for $d \geq d(n)$, $\mathbb{V}[n, d] = 0$.

Modules of the class (C) contain both twisted Weyl modules over $\mathfrak{g}$ and integrable representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, they are such that the positive Fourier modes of the Sugawara tensor act locally nilpotently.

Fix a representation $\mathbb{V}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ of the class (C) and representations (π_s, V_s) of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. We will assume that the Casimir element $\sum_\alpha (x_\alpha)^2$ acts on V_s as a scalar $\Delta_s id_{V_s}$ (this is the case if the V_s are irreducible modules). We have an evaluation morphism ev_{P_s} from $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R) = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes R$ to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, defined as $id \otimes \chi_s$. $\pi_s \circ \mathrm{ev}_s$ defines then a $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$-module structure on V_s. We denote by $V_s^{(P_s)}$ the resulting $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$-module. Define $\mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ as the sheaf over $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n}$, whose sections over an open subset U are maps $\psi : U \to \mathcal{V}^*$, such that $\psi(m)$ is $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$-invariant for any m in U, where $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_s V_s^{(P_s)})$.

Define $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ as the pull-back sheaf $\pi^*_{2\to 1}(\mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)})$ of $\mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$. Local sections of this sheaf are maps $\psi : [R, \bar\chi_1, \dots, \bar\chi_n] \to [\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_s V_s)]^*$, such that for each $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $m \mapsto \langle \psi(m), v\rangle$ is smooth, and each $\psi([R, \bar\chi_1, \dots, \bar\chi_n])$ is $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$-invariant. Here V_s is endowed with the $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$-module structure given by $\pi_s \circ (id_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}} \otimes \{p \circ \bar\chi_s\})$ ($id_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}} \otimes \{p \circ \bar\chi_s\}$ is a map from $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R) = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes R$ to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, and π_s maps $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\mathrm{End}(V_s)$).

1.4.2. *The locus* $\mathcal{W}^\infty$ *of Weierstrass points.* Define $\mathcal{W}$ as the subset of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ formed of the pairs (X, P_0) such that P_0 is a Weierstrass point of X.

Let $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^2}$, resp. $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1}$ be the moduli space of systems $(X, P_0, v_0, \{A_a\})$ of a genus g curve with a marked point, a nonzero tangent vector at this point, and a system of a-cycles (resp. of systems $(X, P_0, \{A_a\})$). Let $\pi'_{2\to 1}$ be the natural projection from $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^2}$ to $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1}$.

The Wronskian of the Abelian differentials defines a function $W(X, P_0, v_0, \{A_a\})$ on $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^2}$. This function is homogeneous of degree $\frac{g(g+1)}{2}$ in v_0.

Then $(\pi'_{2\to 1})^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ is defined by the equation $W(X, P_0, v_0, \{A_a\}) = 0$. It follows that $(\pi'_{2\to 1})^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ has codimension 1 in the smooth part of $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^2}$, and that $\mathcal{W}$ has codimension 1 in the smooth part of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$.

We will define $\mathcal{W}^\infty$ as the preimage $\pi_\infty^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ of $\mathcal{W}$ by the natural projection map $\pi_\infty : \mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$, such that

$$\pi_\infty(X, t, P_i, v_i) = (X, P_0).$$

1.4.3. *The connection* $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$. For x in $U\mathfrak{g}$, we will denote by $x^{(0)}$ the element $\pi_{\mathbb{V}}(x) \otimes (\otimes_s id_{V_s})$ of $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_s V_s))$ and by $x^{(s)}$ (sometimes $x^{(P_s)}$) the element $id_{\mathbb{V}} \otimes (\otimes_{s'<s} id_{V_{s'}}) \otimes \pi_{V_s}(x) \otimes (\otimes_{s'>s} id_{V_{s'}})$.

Lemma 1.4. *For $m \mapsto \psi(m)$ a local section of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$, and ξ in $\mathbb{C}((t))\partial/\partial t$, the value at m of*

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\psi(m) - \psi(m) \circ T_R[\xi]^{(0)}$$

belongs to $(\mathcal{V}^*)^{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)}$ and only depends on the value at m of $[\xi]$.

Proof. Let ϵ be a variable such that $\epsilon^2 = 0$. Let us set $R_\epsilon = (1 + \epsilon\xi)(R)$. Then $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R_\epsilon) = \mathrm{Ad}(1 - \epsilon T[\xi])[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)]$. It follmows that $\partial_{[\xi]}\psi(m) - \psi(m) \circ T_R[\xi]^{(0)}$ belongs to is $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$-invariant.

Let $R_{(P_s)}$ be the subspace of R consisting of the functions vanishing at each P_s. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R_{(P_s)})$ be the image of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes R_{(P_s)}$ by the inclusion of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes R$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Let us show that for ξ a vector field in $\mathbb{C}((t))\partial/\partial t$, with a regular prolongation to $X - \{P_0\}$ and double zeroes at each P_s, $T_R[\xi]$ belongs to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R_{(P_s)})U\mathfrak{g}$. Let $(r^i_{(P_s)})$ be a basis of $R_{(P_s)}$ and let $(\omega^i_{(P_s)})$ be a basis of the space of forms $\Omega_{(P_s)}$ on X, regular except at P_0 and the P_s, and with at most simple poles at the P_s. $R_{(P_s)}$ and $\Omega_{(P_s)}$ are the annihilators of each other by the residue pairing. We may therefore choose $(r_{i;(P_s)})$ and $(\omega_{i;(P_s)})$ in $\mathcal{K}$ and Ω so that $(r^i_{(P_s)}; r_{i;(P_s)})$ and $(\omega_{i;(P_s)}; \omega^i_{(P_s)})$ are dual bases of $\mathcal{K}$ and Ω. As the $\xi\omega^i_{(P_s)}$ and the $r^i_{(P_s)}$ belong to $R_{(P_s)}$,

$$T'[\xi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha[\xi\omega^i_{(P_s)}]x^\alpha[r_{i;(P_s)}] + x^\alpha[r^i_{(P_s)}]x^\alpha[\xi\omega_{i;(P_s)}]$$

belongs to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R_{(P_s)})U\mathfrak{g}$.

On the other hand, let $\omega'^i_{(P_s)}$ and $r'_{i;(P_s)}$ be lifts to $\Omega^{out}_{(P_s)}$ and R^{out} of dual bases of $\Omega^{out}_{(P_s)}/\Omega^{out}$ and $R^{out}/R^{out}_{(P_s)}$. $T_R[\xi] - T'[\xi]$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_{\alpha,i}[x^\alpha[\xi\omega'^i_{(P_s)}], x^\alpha[r'_{i;(P_s)}]].$$

This is proportional to $\sum_i\langle \xi\omega'^i_{(P_s)} dr'_{i;(P_s)}\rangle$, which is zero because the $\xi\omega'^i_{(P_s)}$ belong to Ω^{out}. It follows that $T_R[\xi]$ is equal to $T'[\xi]$, and therefore belongs to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R_{(P_s)})U\mathfrak{g}$.

For x in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R_{(P_s)})$ and v in $\mathbb{V}$, $\psi(m)(xv)$ vanishes, therefore $\psi(m) \circ T_R[\xi]^{(0)}$ vanishes if ξ belongs to the kernel of $\xi \mapsto \mathrm{ev}_m([\xi])$. This proves the Lemma. $\square$

It follows from Lemma 1.4 that the formula

$$\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_{[\xi]}\psi(m) = \partial_{[\xi]}\psi(m) - \psi(m)\circ T_R[\xi]^{(0)}$$

defines a connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ over the restriction of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty} - \mathcal{W}^\infty$.

1.4.4. *Flatness of* $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$. We will show:

Theorem 1.1. *$\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ is a flat connection on the restriction of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty}-\mathcal{W}^\infty$.*

Proof. Let us compute the curvature of $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$. We have

$$\left([\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_\xi, \nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_\eta] - \nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_{[\xi,\eta]}\right)\psi = \psi\circ(-T_R[[\xi,\eta]] - [T_R[\xi],T_R[\eta]] - \partial_\xi T_R[\eta] + \partial_\eta T_R[\xi])^{(0)}.$$

We may assume that r_i and ω_i are independent of R. Then

$$\partial_\xi r^i = \xi(r^i) - \sum_j \langle \xi(r^i),\omega_j\rangle r^j,$$

and

$$\partial_\xi \omega^i = d(\xi\omega^i) - \sum_j \langle d(\xi\omega^i), r_j\rangle \omega^j.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\xi T_R[\eta] =& \frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_\alpha\sum_i x^\alpha\left[\eta d(\xi\omega^i) - \sum_j\langle d(\xi\omega^i),r_j\rangle\eta\omega^j\right]x^\alpha[r_i] \\ &+ x^\alpha\left[\xi(r^i) - \sum_j\langle\xi(r^i),\omega_j\rangle r^j\right]x^\alpha[\eta\omega_i].\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\xi(\eta\omega)-\eta(\xi\omega) = [\xi,\eta]\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[T_R[\xi],T_R[\eta]] + T_R[[\xi,\eta]] = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_\alpha\sum_i & x^\alpha[\eta(\xi\omega^i)]x^\alpha[r_i] + x^\alpha[\eta\omega^i]x^\alpha[\xi(r_i)] \\ &+ x^\alpha[\xi(r^i)]x^\alpha[\eta\omega_i] + x^\alpha[r^i]x^\alpha[\eta(\xi\omega_i)].\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&-2\kappa(\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_{[\xi,\eta]} - [\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_\xi,\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_\eta])\psi \\ &= \psi\circ\pi_{\mathbb{V}}\{-\sum_\alpha\sum_i\{x^\alpha[\eta(\xi\omega^i)]x^\alpha[r_i] + x^\alpha[\eta\omega^i]x^\alpha[\xi(r_i)] + x^\alpha[\xi(r^i)]x^\alpha[\eta\omega_i] \\ &+ x^\alpha[r^i]x^\alpha[\eta(\xi\omega_i)]\} \\ &+\sum_\alpha\sum_i x^\alpha\left[\eta d(\xi\omega^i) - \sum_j\langle d(\xi\omega^i),r_j\rangle\eta\omega^j\right]x^\alpha[r_i] + x^\alpha\left[\xi(r^i) - \sum_j\langle\xi(r^i),\omega_j\rangle r^j\right]x^\alpha[\eta\omega_i] \\ &-\sum_\alpha\sum_i x^\alpha\left[\xi d(\eta\omega^i) - \sum_j\langle d(\eta\omega^i),r_j\rangle\xi\omega^j\right]x^\alpha[r_i] + x^\alpha\left[\eta(r^i) - \sum_j\langle\eta(r^i),\omega_j\rangle r^j\right]x^\alpha[\xi\omega_i]\}\}.\end{aligned}$$

For f in $\mathbb{C}((t))$ and ω in $\mathbb{C}((t))dt$, denote by $f_{(out)}$ and $f_{(in)}$, resp. $\omega_{(out)}$ and $\omega_{(in)}$ the projections of f and ω on the first and second spaces of decompositions (2) and (1). $-2\kappa(\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_{[\xi,\eta]} - [\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_{\xi}, \nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_{\eta}])\psi$ can then be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi \circ (\sum_\alpha \sum_i & - x^\alpha[\eta\omega^i]x^\alpha[\xi(r_i)] - x^\alpha[r^i]x^\alpha[\eta(\xi\omega_i)] \\ & - x^\alpha[\eta\{d(\xi\omega^i)\}_{(out)}]x^\alpha[r_i] - x^\alpha[\{\xi(r_i)\}_{(out)}]x^\alpha[\eta\omega_i] \\ & - x^\alpha[\xi\{d(\eta\omega^i)\}_{(in)}]x^\alpha[r_i] - x^\alpha[\{\eta(r_i)\}_{(in)}]x^\alpha[\xi\omega_i]\ )^{(0)}\,,\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\psi \circ (\sum_\alpha \sum_i & - x^\alpha[\eta\omega^i]x^\alpha[\{\xi(r_i)\}_{(out)}] - x^\alpha[r^i]x^\alpha[\eta\{d(\xi\omega_i)\}_{(out)}] \\ & - x^\alpha[\xi\{d(\eta\omega^i)\}_{(in)}]x^\alpha[r_i] - x^\alpha[\{\eta(r^i)\}_{(in)}]x^\alpha[\xi\omega_i]\ )^{(0)}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Write (6) in the form $\psi \circ (\sum_\alpha \sum_i x^\alpha[a_i]x^\alpha[b_i])^{(0)}$. $\sum_\alpha \sum_i x^\alpha[b_i]x^\alpha[a_i]$ also belongs to the completion of $U\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the family of left ideals generated by the $x^\alpha[t^i], i \geq N$. Let us show that $\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i + b_i \otimes a_i = 0$: this identity is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i & \eta\omega^i \otimes \{\xi(r_i)\}_{(out)} + r^i \otimes \eta\{d(\xi\omega_i)\}_{(out)} \\ & + \xi\{d(\eta\omega^i)\}_{(in)} \otimes r_i + \{\eta(r_i)\}_{(in)} \otimes \xi\omega_i + (z \leftrightarrow w) = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

which is proved as follows: for ω, ω' in $\mathbb{C}((t))dt$,

$$\begin{aligned}& \langle \omega \otimes \omega', \text{lhs of } (7)\rangle \\ & = \langle d(\{\eta\omega\}_{(in)}), \xi\omega'_{(in)}\rangle + \langle d(\eta\omega_{(in)}), \{\xi\omega'\}_{(in)}\rangle \\ & - \langle d(\{\xi\omega\}_{(out)}), \eta\omega'_{(out)}\rangle - \langle d(\eta\omega_{(out)}), \{\xi\omega'\}_{(out)}\rangle + (\omega \leftrightarrow \omega') = 0.\end{aligned}$$

(6) is then equal to $\frac{k}{2}\dim\bar{\mathfrak{g}}\sum_i\langle da_i, b_i\rangle$, which is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{k}{2}(\dim\bar{\mathfrak{g}})\sum_i & \langle d(\eta\omega^i), \{\xi(r_i)\}_{(out)}\rangle + \langle \eta(r_i), \{d(\xi\omega_i)\}_{(out)}\rangle \\ & - \langle \{d(\eta\omega^i)\}_{(in)}, \xi(r_i)\rangle - \langle \{\eta(r^i)\}_{(in)}, d(\xi\omega_i)\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

and is equal to zero. □

Remark 1. *Descent to* $\mathcal{M}_{g,(n+1)\cdot 1^2}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,(n+1)\cdot 1^2}$ the the moduli space of systems $(X, t^{(2)}, P_i, v_i)$ of a curve of genus g, the jet to second order of a coordinate at a point P_0 of X, and of n points P_i together with tangent vectors at these points. $\mathcal{M}_{g,(n+1)\cdot 1^2}$ can be identified with the moduli space of systems (X, P_i, v_i) of a genus g curve, $n+1$ marked points and a tangent vector at these points, with $v_0 \neq 0$. Let π_2, resp. $\pi_{\infty,2}$ be the canonical projections from $\mathcal{M}_{g,(n+1)\cdot 1^2}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, and from $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,(n+1)\cdot 1^2}$.

The map $\pi_{\infty,2}$ has simply connected fibers, and maps $\mathcal{W}^\infty$ to $\pi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$. For any section σ of $\pi_{\infty,2}$, we may therefore consider the sheaf $\sigma^*\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$; it is endowed, over $\mathcal{M}_{g,(n+1)\cdot 1^2} - \pi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$, with the flat connection $\sigma^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$. For σ' another section of $\pi_{\infty,2}$, the connections $(\sigma^*\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}, \sigma^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)})$ and $(\sigma'^*\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}, \sigma'^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)})$ are isomorphic (the connection can be integrated from $\sigma(m)$ to $\sigma'(m)$ by ordered path exponentials because the positive Fourier modes of the Sugawara tensor act on $\mathbb{V}$ locally nilpotently).

1.5. **Behaviour around marked points.**

Recall that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ is the pull-back sheaf $\pi_{2\to 1}^*(\mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)})$, where $\pi_{2\to 1}$ is the natural projection of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n}$. Let us study the variation of flat sections of $\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ along the fibres of $\pi_{2\to 1}$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let Δ_s be the value in V_s of the Casimir element $\sum_\alpha x_\alpha^2$. Let for $\lambda = (\lambda_s)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, $m \mapsto m_\lambda$ be the transformation of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ associating to $m = (X, t, P_s, v_s)$, $m_\lambda = (X, t, P_s, \lambda_s v_s)$. Assume that $m \mapsto \psi(m)$ is a flat section of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$. We have (using the natural identification of the fibres of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ at m and m_λ)*

$$\psi(m_\lambda) = \prod_s \lambda_s^{\frac{\Delta_s}{2\kappa}} \psi(m).$$

Proof. Let ξ_s be a vector field on X, regular on $X - \{P_0\}$, with expansion at P_t given by $\xi_s = \delta_{st} z_t \partial/\partial z_t + o(z_t)\partial/\partial z_t$, where z_t is a local coordinate at P_t. Then $\partial_{[\xi_s]}\psi(m) = -\frac{\partial \psi(m_\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_s}_{|\lambda=1}$.

On the other hand, since the $\psi(m) \circ x^\alpha[r^i_{(P_s)}]^{(0)}$ and $\psi(m) \circ x^\alpha[\xi_s \omega^i]^{(0)}$ vanish, $\psi(m) \circ T_R[\xi_s]^{(0)}$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa}\psi(m) \circ \left(\sum_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha[\xi_s \omega'^i_{(P_i)}] x^\alpha[r'_{i;(P_s)}] \right)^{(0)},$$

which is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_t \sum_i [\xi_s \omega'^i_{(P_s)}](t) r'_{i;(P_s)}(t) \psi(m) \circ [\sum_\alpha (x^{\alpha(t)})^2].$$

We may choose the bases $(\omega'^i_{(P_s)})$ and $(r'_{i;(P_s)})$ as follows: these bases are indexed by s in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, $r'_{s;(P_s)}(t) = \delta_{st}$, and $\omega'^s_{(P_s)}$ has the expansion

$$\omega'^s_{(P_s)}(z_t) = -\delta_{st}\frac{dz_t}{z_t} + O(1)$$

near P_t. Therefore, $\psi(m) \circ T_R[\xi_s]$ is equal to $\frac{\Delta_s}{2\kappa}\psi(m)$. Therefore, we get

$$\frac{\partial \psi(m_\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_s}_{|\lambda=1} = \frac{\Delta_s}{2\kappa}\psi(m)$$

for each $s = 1, \ldots, n$, which implies the Proposition. □

1.6. **Singularity around the locus of Weierstrass points.** Let us analyze the restriction of $\sigma^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ to a fiber of the projection $\pi_2 : \mathcal{M}_{g,(n+1)\cdot 1^2} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,n\cdot 1^2}$ such that $\pi_2(X, P_0, v_0, P_i, v_i) = (X, P_i, v_i)$, more precisely, its singularity around the subvariety $(\pi_{2\to 1})^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$.

Recall the we defined in function W on $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^2}$ (sect. 1.4.2). Since W gets multiplied by a constant under modular transformations, $\frac{dW}{W}$ is the pull-back of a form over $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$, that we denote $(\frac{dW}{W})_1$. Let μ be the map from $\mathcal{M}_{g,(n+1)\cdot 1^2}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$ defined by $\mu(X, P_0, v_0, P_i, v_i) = (X, P_0, v_0)$; we set

$$\left(\frac{dW}{W}\right)_{n+1} = \mu^*[\left(\frac{dW}{W}\right)_1].$$

Proposition 1.3. *Let U be an open subset of the smooth part of $\mathcal{M}_{g,(n+1)\cdot 1^2}$. $\sigma^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ has the expansion*

$$\sigma^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)} = d - \frac{k \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}}{2\kappa}\left(\frac{dW}{W}\right)_{n+1} id_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}} + \text{regular form on } U \text{ with values in } \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}). \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, the projectivization of $\sigma^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ is regular on U.

Proof. A Weierstrass point P_0 is called normal if there exists a basis of the space of holomorphic differentials on X with valuations at $0, 1, \dots, g-2, g$ at P_0 (see [2]). According to [17], normal Weierstrass points form an open subset of $\mathcal{W}$. Therefore it is sufficient to analyze $\sigma^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ around normal Weierstrass points.

Let X be a fixed curve and let P_0 be a normal Weierstrass point on X. The restriction of $\sigma^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ to X is then a connection on X with singularities at the Weierstrass points. Let us analyze the singularity at P_0.

Let z be a local coordinate at P_0. Let P be a point of X, close to P_0. Denote $\bar\omega_{(P)}(z, z')$ the bidifferential form (5) computed at point P. We have $\bar\omega_{(P)}(z, z') = \widetilde{\omega}(z, z') + \sum_a \omega_a(z) d_z \partial_a \ln \Theta(gP - z - \Delta)$. The second term of this sum has a simple pole at $P = P_0$. The value of the connection at the point P is

$$\sigma^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_{\partial/\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \langle T_{\bar\omega_P}(z)(dz)^2, \partial/\partial z\rangle,$$

which we express as

$$\sigma^*\nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_{\partial/\partial z} = \partial_z + \frac{k \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}}{2\kappa}\langle \partial/\partial u, \sum_a \omega_a(u) dr^{(P)}_a(u)\rangle + O(1),$$

where u is the local coordinate $z - z_P$ at P. Let us compute the pole at $P = P_0$ of $\langle \partial/\partial u, \sum_a \omega_a(u) dr^{(P)}_a(u)\rangle$. For this, let us change the dual basis (ω_a), $(r^{(P)}_a)$ to $(\omega^{(P)}_i)$, $(r'^{(P)}_i)$, with $\omega^{(P)}_i = u^{i-1}du + \sum_{j\geq 0} b_{ij}(P) u^{g+i} du$, and $r'^{(P)}_i(u) = u^{-i} + O(1)$.

We have then

$$\langle \sum_a \omega_a(u) dr_a^{(P)}(u) \rangle = \sum_i \langle \omega_i^{(P)}(u) dr_i'^{(P)}(u) \rangle = -g b_{g0}(z).$$

Let us show that

$$b_{g0}(z) = \frac{1}{gz} + O(1).$$

Let (β_i) be the basis of the space of holomorphic differentials on X, with expansions at P_0

$$\beta_i(z) = z^{i-1}dz + \lambda_i z^{g-1}dz + \sum_{j\geq 0} \lambda_{ij} z^{g+1+j}dz, \quad i = 1, \cdots, g-1,$$

$$\beta_g(z) = z^g dz + \sum_{j\geq 0} \lambda_{gj} z^{g+1+j}dz.$$

Let $x = z_P$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A(x) & \lambda(x) \\ c(x) & gx + o(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} du \\ \vdots \\ u^{g-1}du \end{pmatrix} + O(u^g)du,$$

with $A(x) \in M_{(g-1)\times(g-1)}(\mathbb{C})$, $A(x) = id + O(x)$, $\lambda(x) \in M_{(g-1)\times 1}(\mathbb{C})$, $\lambda(x) = {}^t(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{g-1}) + O(x)$, $c(x) \in M_{1\times(g-1)}(\mathbb{C})$, $c(x) = (O(x^g), \ldots, O(x^2))$.

It follows that

$$\omega_i^{(P)} = \beta_i - \frac{\lambda_i}{gx}\beta_g + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \alpha_{ij}(x)\beta_j,$$

$i = 1, \ldots, g-1$, $\alpha_{i,j}(x) = O(1)$, and

$$\omega_g^{(P)} = (\frac{1}{gx} + O(1))\beta_g + \sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \alpha_{gj}(x)\beta_j,$$

$\alpha_{g,j}(x) = O(x)$. It follows that $b_{g0}(x) = \frac{1}{gx} + O(1)$.

We therefore find the expansion

$$\sigma^* \nabla^{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}_{\partial/\partial z} = \partial_z - \frac{k \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}}{2\kappa} \frac{dz}{z} id_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}} + O(1).$$

□

2. Green functions and connections on Ω_X

2.1. **Theta-functions.** For $\tau = (\tau_{ab})_{a,b=1,\dots,\leq g}$ a symmetric matrix with negative definite real part, and λ in $\mathbb{C}^g$, let us set

$$\Theta(\lambda|\tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}\tau\mathbf{m}^t + \mathbf{m}\lambda^t).$$

Let us denote by δ_j the jth canonical basis vector of $\mathbb{C}^g$. We have

$$\Theta(\lambda + 2i\pi\delta_j|\tau) = \Theta(\lambda|\tau), \quad \Theta(\lambda + \tau\delta_j|\tau) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau_{jj} - z_j}\Theta(\lambda|\tau).$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_g^{(a,b)}$ the moduli space of systems (X, A_a, B_a) of a complex curve X and of a canonical basis (A_a, B_a) of $H_1(X,\mathbb{Z})$. Let $m =$ class of (X, A_a, B_a) be a point of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g^{(a,b)}$. Let $(\omega_a)_{a=1,\dots,g}$ be the basis of holomorphic differentials on X, such that $\int_{A_a}\omega_b = 2i\pi\delta_{ab}$, and let $\tau(m)$ be the matrix of periods defined by $\tau(m)_{ab} = \int_{B_a}\omega_b$. In what follows, we will write $\Theta(\lambda|m)$ or $\Theta(\lambda)$ instead of $\Theta(\lambda|\tau(m))$. We also write $\partial_a\Theta(\lambda|m) = \frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial\lambda_a}(\lambda|m)$, $\partial_a \ln\Theta(\lambda|m) = \frac{\partial\ln\Theta}{\partial\lambda_a}(\lambda|m)$, etc.

The degree zero part $J^0(X)$ of the Jacobian of X is the quotient $\mathbb{C}^g/L$, where L is the lattice $(2i\pi\mathbb{Z})^g + \tau(m)\mathbb{Z}^g$. The Abel-Jacobi map sends a degree zero divisor $\sum_i n_i P_i$ to the class of the vector $(\sum_i \int_{x_0}^{P_i}\omega_a)_{a=1,\dots,g}$ (this map is independent of the choice of a point x_0 of X). We will identify classes of degree zero divisors with their images by the Abel-Jacobi map.

The vector of Riemann constants Δ is then defined as the element Δ of the degree $g-1$ part of the Jacobian $J^{g-1}(X)$, such that the identity $\Theta(x_1 + \dots + x_{g-1} - \Delta) = 0$ holds identically, for $x_1, \dots, x_{g-1}$ points of X.

The function $z \mapsto \Theta(gP_0 - z - \Delta)$ is not identically zero, because P_0 is not a Weierstrass point of X. We set

$$r_a(z) = -\partial_a \ln\Theta(gP_0 - z - \Delta);$$

then r_a satisfies $\mathrm{val}_{P_0}(r_a) \geq -g$, and

$$r_a(\gamma_{A_b}z) = r_a(z), \quad r_a(\gamma_{B_b}z) = r_a(z) - \delta_{ab},$$

where γ_{A_a} and γ_{B_a} are deck transformations of the universal cover of X, corresponding to the cycles A_a and B_a.

Remark 2. In the case where X is an elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau'\mathbb{Z})$, τ is the 1×1 matrix equal to $2i\pi\tau'$. The vector of Riemann constants is $\Delta = (1+\tau')/2$.

2.2. **Green functions.** Let ω_i^{out} be the forms such that $(\omega_a, \omega_i^{out})_{a=1,\dots,g,i\geq 1}$ and $(r_a, t^i)_{a=1,\dots,g,i\geq 1}$ are dual bases of Ω^{out} and $(\oplus_a \mathbb{C}r_a) \oplus t\mathbb{C}[[t]]$. Set

$$G(z,z')_{z'\ll z} = \sum_{i\geq 1}\omega_i^{out}(z)(z')^i, \quad \bar G(z,z')_{z'\ll z} = \sum_{i\geq 1}\omega_i^{out}(z)(z')^i + \sum_{a=1,\dots,g}\omega_a(z)r_a(z'),$$

then $G(z,z')_{z'\ll z}$ and $\bar G(z,z')_{z'\ll z}$ are the expansions for z' near P_0 of the rational forms

$$\begin{aligned} G(z,z') &= d_z \ln\Theta(z'-z+(g-1)P_0-\Delta) - d_z\ln\Theta(gP_0-z-\Delta) \\ &= -\sum_a \omega_a(z)[\partial_a \ln\Theta(z'-z+(g-1)P_0-\Delta) - \partial_a\ln\Theta(gP_0-z-\Delta)] \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\bar G(z,z') = d_z \ln\Theta(z'-z+(g-1)P_0-\Delta) - d_z\ln\Theta(gP_0-z-\Delta) + \sum_a \omega_a(z) r_a(z') \tag{10}$$

$$= \sum_a \omega_a(z)[-\partial_a \ln\Theta(z'-z+(g-1)P_0-\Delta) + \partial_a\ln\Theta(gP_0-z-\Delta)$$
$$- \partial_a \ln\Theta(gP_0-z'-\Delta)].$$

$G(z,z')_{z'\ll z}$ and $\bar G(z,z')_{z'\ll z}$ belong therefore to $\mathbb{C}((z))((z'))dz$. We will denote the expansions of $G(z,z')$ and $\bar G(z,z')$ for z' near P_0 by $G(z,z')_{z'\gg z}$ and $\bar G(z,z')_{z'\gg z}$ (they are elements of $\mathbb{C}((z'))((z))dz$). We will use the same convention for the functions $G_\lambda(z,w)$.

For $\lambda = (\lambda_a)_{a=1,\dots,g}$ in $\mathbb{C}^g$, let $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ be the line bundle over X, defined by $\Gamma(U,\mathcal{L}_\lambda) = \{f : \widetilde U \to X | f(\gamma_{A_a} z) = f(z), f(\gamma_{B_a} z) = e^{\lambda_a} f(z), a = 1,\dots,g\}$, where U is any open subset of X and $\widetilde U$ is the preimage of U by the projection $\sigma : \widetilde X \to X$.

Let Ω_X be the canonical line bundle of X. We identify elements of $H^0(X - \{P_0\}, \Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ with their Laurent expansions at P_0 in $\mathbb{C}((t))dt$. $H^0(X, \Omega_X\otimes\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ is then spanned by a basis $(\omega^{out}_{i,\lambda})_{i\ge 1-g}$, dual to the family $(t^i)_{i\ge 1-g}$ of $\mathbb{C}((t))$.

$G_\lambda(z,w)$ is the twisted Green function defined by

$$G_\lambda(z,w) = \frac{\Theta(z-w+(g-1)P_0-\lambda-\Delta)}{\Theta(z-w+(g-1)P_0-\Delta)\Theta((g-1)P_0-\lambda-\Delta)} \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial\lambda_a}((g-1)P_0-\Delta)\omega_a(z). \tag{11}$$

We have then

$$G_\lambda(z,w)_{w\ll z} = \sum_{i\ge 1-g} \omega^{out}_{i,\lambda}(z) w^i.$$

2.2.1. *Behaviour of the Green functions.* Let us emphasize the dependence of $G(z,w), \bar G(z,w)$ and $G_\lambda(z,w)$ in P_0 by writing them $G_{P_0}(z,w), \bar G_{P_0}(z,w)$ and $G_{\lambda,P_0}(z,w)$. Let us summarize the main functional properties for the Green functions:

Proposition 2.1. *$G_{P_0}(z,w)$ is defined for any P_0; it is regular in z, except for simples poles with residues 1 and -1 when z meets w and P_0. It is multivalued in w, vanishes for $w = P_0$, and single-valued in z.*

$\bar G_{P_0}(z,w)$ is defined for any P_0 which is not a Weierstrass point. It is single-valued both in z and w. It has simples poles with residues 1 and -1 when z meets w and P_0. As a function of w, it regular except for a simple pole when w meets z and a pole at P_0 of order g (when P_0 is Weierstrass).

$G_{\lambda,P_0}(z,w)$ is defined for λ generic ($(g-1)P_0-\lambda-\Delta$ should not lie in the theta-divisor). It is multivalued both in z and w. It is a regular form in z, except for a simple pole at $z=w$; it vanishes to order $g-1$ when z meets P_0. Also it has a pole of order $g-1$ when w meets P_0.

Proof. Let $\underline{\delta}$ be a nonsingular odd theta-characteristic. Let us set $\widetilde{\Theta}=\Theta[\underline{\delta}]$ (see (42)). We have then

$$G_{P_0}(z,w)=d_z\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(z-w)-d_z\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(z-P_0).$$

This proves that $G_{P_0}(z,w)$ is well-defined for any P_0 in X.

Let $Q_1,\dots,Q_{g-1}$ be the zeroes (other than P_0) of the holomorphic differential with $g-1$ zeroes at P_0. Both $\Theta(z-w+(g-1)P_0-\Delta)$ and $\sum_a\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)\omega_a(z)$ vanish when z equals Q_i, so that $G_{\lambda,P_0}(z,w)$ has no pole when z meets some Q_i.

The other points are direct checks. □

Remark 3. The functions $r_a(z)$ and the form $\bar G(z,z')$ only depend on a choice of a basis of a-cycles. When the basis of a-cycles is changed, $\bar G(z,z')$ gets transformed by the addition of a form in z. On the other hand, recall that we defined $\bar\omega(z;z')$ by (5). We have $\bar\omega(z,z')=d_{z'}\bar G(z,z')$, because $(\oplus_a\mathbb{C}r_a\oplus t\mathbb{C}[[t]])\oplus\mathbb{C}1=(\oplus_{i=1}^g\mathbb{C}t^{-i}\oplus t\mathbb{C}[[t]])\oplus\mathbb{C}1$. It follows that $\bar\omega(z,z')$ independent of the choice of a-cycles (it is "modular invariant").

2.3. Connections on Ω_X.

Let $\bar D$ and $D^{(\lambda)}$ be the connections defined over Ω_X by the rule

$$(\bar D\omega)(z)=-\lim\nolimits_{z'\to z}[\bar G(z,z')\omega(z')+\bar G(z',z)\omega(z)]$$

and

$$(D^{(\lambda)}\omega)(z)=-\lim\nolimits_{z'\to z}\left(G_\lambda(z,z')\omega(z')+G_\lambda(z',z)\omega(z)\right).$$

Proposition 2.2. *1) If ω is a one-form defined on an open subset U of X, on which a coordinate z_U is fixed, and we set $\omega_U(z)=\omega(z)/dz_U$, we have*

$$\bar D\omega(z)=\omega'_U(z)(dz_U)^2 \tag{12}$$
$$-\frac{\sum_a\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)(\omega_a)'_U(z)(dz_U)^2-\sum_{a,b}\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)\omega_a\omega_b(z)}{\sum_a\omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)}\omega(z).$$

Let R_0 be a point of X and set

$$\bar\alpha_{R_0}(z)=\frac{\Theta(R_0-z+(g-1)P_0-\Delta)}{\Theta(z-R_0+(g-1)P_0-\Delta)}\Big(\sum_a\omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)\Big).$$

The forms $\bar\alpha_{R_0}(z)$ *are well defined and nonzero when* P_0 *is generic, and are all proportional. They have no poles and no zeroes except for a zero of order* $2(g-1)$ *at* P_0 *and we have, when* $\bar\alpha_{R_0}$ *is nonzero*

$$\bar D\omega = \bar\alpha_{R_0} d(\frac{\omega}{\bar\alpha_{R_0}}). \tag{13}$$

2) We have

$$D^{(\lambda)}\omega = \bar D\omega - 2[\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a \ln\Theta(-\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)]\omega.$$

Proof. Let us prove 1). Let us set

$$\tilde{\tilde{G}}(z,w) = d_z \ln\Theta(w - z + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta).$$

Since $(\tilde{\tilde{G}} - \bar G)(z,z) = 0$, we have

$$(\bar D\omega)(z) = \lim_{z'\to z}[\tilde{\tilde{G}}(z,z')\omega(z') + \tilde{\tilde{G}}(z',z)\omega(z)].$$

(12) is then a consequence of the expansion

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{\tilde{G}}(z,w) = \frac{dz_U}{z_U - w_U}\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sum_a (\omega_a)'_U(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)(dz_U)^2 - \sum_{a,b}\omega_a\omega_b(z)\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P-0-\Delta)}{\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)}\\ &+ o(z_U - w_U).\end{aligned}$$

The fact that the forms $\bar\alpha_{R_0}$ are proportional follows from the identity (36).

Let us prove (13). This equality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&d_z \ln(\bar\alpha_{R_0})_U(z)\\ &= \frac{\sum_a (\omega_a)'_U(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)(dz_U)^2 - \sum_{a,b}\omega_a\omega_b(z)\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)}{\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)},\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$d_z \ln\frac{\Theta(z - R_0 + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}{\Theta(R_0 - z + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)} = \frac{\sum_{a,b}\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)\omega_a\omega_b(z)}{\sum_a \partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)\omega_a(z)}. \tag{14}$$

Let us show (14). As we have seen, its left side is independent of R_0. Let us compute the expansion of this left side when P_0 tends to z. We find

$$\begin{aligned}&[d_z \ln\Theta(z - R_0 + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)]_U = \frac{1}{z - R_0}\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sum_a (\omega_a)'_U(z)(dz_U)^2\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta) + \sum_{a,b}\omega_a\omega_b(z)\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)}{\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)}\\ &+ o(z_U - (R_0)_U)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$[d_z \ln \Theta(R_0 - z + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)]_U = \frac{1}{z - R_0}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_a (\omega_a)'_U(z)(dz_U)^2 \partial_a \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta) - \sum_{a,b} \omega_a \omega_b(z) \partial^2_{ab} \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}{\sum_a \omega_a(z) \partial_a \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}$$
$$+ o(z_U - (R_0)_U).$$

The limit of the left side of (14) when P_0 tends to z is therefore equal to its right side. (14) then follows from the fact that its left side is independent of P_0.

Let us show 3). Let us set

$$\widetilde{G}(z,w) = d_z \ln \Theta(z - w + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta),$$

then we have

$$(G_\lambda - \widetilde{G})(z,w)_{|z=w} = \sum_a \omega_a(z) \partial_a \ln \Theta(-\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)$$
$$- \frac{\sum_{a,b} \omega_a \omega_b(z) \partial^2_{ab} \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}{\sum_a \omega_a(z) \partial_a \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)};$$

therefore, if we set

$$\widetilde{D}\omega = - \lim_{z' \to z} (\widetilde{G}(z,z')\omega(z') + \widetilde{G}(z',z)\omega(z)),$$

we get

$$D^{(\lambda)}\omega = \widetilde{D}\omega + 2[- \sum_a \omega_a(z) \partial_a \ln \Theta(-\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta) \tag{15}$$
$$+ \frac{\sum_{a,b} \omega_a \omega_b(z) \partial^2_{ab} \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}{\sum_a \omega_a(z) \partial_a \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}]\omega.$$

A proof similar to that of Prop. 2.2, 1) shows that

$$\widetilde{D}\omega(z) = \omega'_U(z)(dz_U)^2 \tag{16}$$
$$- \frac{\sum_a \partial_a \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)(\omega_a)'_U(z)(dz_U)^2 + \sum_{a,b} \partial^2_{ab} \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta) \omega_a \omega_b(z)}{\sum_a \omega_a(z) \partial_a \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)} \omega(z).$$

3) follows then from (15), (12) and (16). □

Remark 4. The proof of Prop. 2.2, 1) also shows that if R_0 is a point of X and

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{R_0}(z) = \frac{\Theta(z - R_0 + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}{\Theta(R_0 - z + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)} (\sum_a \omega_a(z) \partial_a \Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)).$$

The forms $\widetilde{\alpha}_{R_0}(z)$ are well-defined and nonzero when R_0 is generic, and are all proportional. They have no poles and no zeroes except for double zeroes at the points $Q_1, \dots, Q_{g-1}$ and we have, when $\widetilde{\alpha}_{R_0}$ is nonzero

$$\widetilde{D}\omega = \widetilde{\alpha}_{R_0} d(\frac{\omega}{\widetilde{\alpha}_{R_0}}). \tag{17}$$

Remark 5. Another proof of (17) can be found in [11], Prop. 2.2.

3. Functional expression of the KZB connection

In this section, we will assume that $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$.

3.1. **The modules.** Let e, f, h be the Cartan basis of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathbb{C}h$, $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+ = \mathbb{C}e$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_- = \mathbb{C}f$. Let ℓ be an integer such that $\ell \geq g-1$. Let us set

$$\mathfrak{g}_+^{(\ell)} = \big(\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]]\big) \oplus \big(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \otimes t^{\ell}\mathbb{C}[[t]]\big) \oplus \big(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_- \otimes t^{-\ell}\mathbb{C}[[t]]\big) \oplus \mathbb{C}K;$$

$\mathfrak{g}_+^{(\ell)}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let χ be the character of $\mathfrak{g}_+^{(\ell)}$ defined by $\chi(K) = k$, $\chi(h[1]) = -\ell k$, and χ is zero when restricted to $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[[t]] \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \otimes t^{\ell}\mathbb{C}[[t]] \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_- \otimes t^{-\ell}\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ (recall that $h[1] = (h \otimes 1, 0)$ under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}((t)) \oplus \mathbb{C}K$). Let $\mathbb{V}_{-\ell k}$ be the induced module $U\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{U\mathfrak{g}_+^{(\ell)}} \mathbb{C}_\chi$, and let v be the vector $1 \otimes 1$ of this module. $\mathbb{V}_{-\ell k}$ belongs to the class (C) of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules defined in sect. 1.4.1. When k is a positive integer and ℓ is even, $\mathbb{V}_{-\ell k}$ has a quotient isomorphic to the vacuum integrable module of level k.

Denote by $(\rho_{-\Lambda}, V_{-\Lambda})$ the lowest weight Verma module over $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, freely generated by the vector $v_{-\Lambda}$ such that $\rho_{-\Lambda}(f)(v_{-\Lambda}) = 0$ and $\rho_{-\Lambda}(h)(v_{-\Lambda}) = -\Lambda v_{-\Lambda}$.

In what follows, we will set $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_{-\ell k}$ and $V_s = V_{-\Lambda_s}$, and denote $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{V},(V_s)}$ by $\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$. In the notation of sect. 1.4, $\mathcal{V}$ is then the $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$-module $\mathbb{V}_{-\ell k} \otimes (\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i}^{(P_i)})$; the spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)} = (\mathcal{V}^*)^{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)}$ form a sheaf over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n+1}$. Moreover, the lift of $\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ is endowed with the flat connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}}$.

3.2. **The connection** $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \widetilde{\nabla}^{\ell,(\Lambda_i)})$**.** Let $\mathcal{M}_g^{(a)}$ be the moduli space of genus g curves with marked a-cycles and $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ be the fibered product $\mathcal{M}_g^{(a)} \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$.

Let $\pi^{(a)}$ be the canonical projection from $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$. This projection is a local isomorphism. Let $(\mathcal{B}^{(a)}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \nabla^{\ell,(\Lambda_i),(a)})$ be the lift of $(\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \nabla^{\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}})$ to $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$.

For $\lambda = (\lambda_a)_{a=1,\dots,g}$ a g-uple of complex numbers, let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_\lambda$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ formed by the Laurent expansions at a point of $\sigma^{-1}(P_0)$ of the functions x on $\widetilde{X} - \sigma^{-1}(P_0)$, such that

$$x(\gamma_{A_a}(z)) = x(z), \quad x(\gamma_{B_a}(z)) = \mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda_a h})(x(z));$$

recall that γ_{A_a} and γ_{B_a} are the deck transformations associated to the a- and b-cycles of $\widetilde{X}$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{P_i}$ be the local ring of X at P_i. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{reg\ at\ P_i}$ be the extension of the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes [\mathbb{C}((t)) \oplus (\oplus_i \mathcal{O}_i)]$ by the Kac-Moody cocycle. There is a unique Lie algebra morphism ev from $\mathfrak{g}_{reg\ at\ P_i}$ to $\mathfrak{g} \oplus (\oplus_i \bar{\mathfrak{g}})$, induced by evaluation at points P_i. Let us denote by $\pi_{\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}$ the representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{reg\ at\ P_i}$ in $\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})$ equal to $\pi_{\mathbb{V}} \otimes (\otimes_i \pi^{(P_i)}_{V_{-\Lambda_i}})$.

In what follows, we will view $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_\lambda$ as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{reg\ at\ P_i}$.

Let $\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i)$ be a flat section of $(\mathcal{B}_{(a),\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \nabla^{(a),\ell,(\Lambda_i)})$ and let $(\lambda_a^f)_{a=1,\dots,g}$ be formal variables. Let us set $\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a^f) = \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i)\circ\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(e^{\sum_a \lambda_a^f h[r_a]})$. Then $\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a^f)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{(\lambda_a^f)}$-invariant on $\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})$, and is a solution of equations

$$\partial_{\lambda_a^f}\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a^f) = \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a^f)\circ\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r_a]),$$

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a^f) = \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a^f)\circ T_{\bar\omega}[\xi]^{(0)}.$$

We will therefore define $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ as the sheaf over $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ whose fibre over (m_∞, P_i, v_i) is the space of maps ϕ from an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^g$ to $[\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})]^*$, such that for any λ in $\mathbb{C}^g$, $\phi(\lambda)$ is $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_\lambda$-invariant, and satisfies the differential equation

$$\partial_{\lambda_a}\phi(\lambda) = \phi(\lambda)\circ\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r_a]).$$

We define also a connection $\widetilde\nabla^{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ by

$$\widetilde\nabla^{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}_{[\xi]}\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a) = \partial_{[\xi]}\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a) - \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a)\circ T_{\bar\omega}[\xi]^{(0)}.$$

It is then easy to see that $\widetilde\nabla^{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ is flat. A flat section of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \widetilde\nabla^{\ell,(\Lambda_i)})$ therefore consists of a function $\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda)$ defined on an open subset of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n,\cdot 1^2}$, such that

$$\partial_{\lambda_a}\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) = \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda)\circ\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r_a]) \tag{18}$$

and

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a) = \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda_a)\circ T_{\bar\omega}[\xi]^{(0)}. \tag{19}$$

3.3. **The functional connection $(\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}})$.** Set

$$N = \frac{1}{2}[k\ell + \sum_i \Lambda_i].$$

Define a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ over $\mathcal{M}^{(a)}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}$ as follows. The fiber of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ over (m_∞, P_i, v_i) is the space of all forms $f(\lambda|z_1,\dots,z_N)$ defined on $U\times(\widetilde X - \sigma^{-1}\{P_0, P_i\})^N$, where U is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^g$, with the conditions that $f(\lambda|z_1,\dots,z_N)$ is a regular one-form in each variable z_i, has at most simple poles at the P_i ($i\neq 0$), satisfies the transformation properties

$$(\gamma^{(i)}_{A_a})^* f(\lambda|z_1,\dots,z_N) = f(\lambda|z_1,\dots,z_N), \quad (\gamma^{(i)}_{B_a})^* f(\lambda|z_1,\dots,z_N) = e^{-2\lambda_a} f(\lambda|z_1,\dots,z_N),$$

and is symmetric under permutation of the variables z_i. Here $\gamma^{(i)}_{A_a}$ and $\gamma^{(i)}_{B_a}$ denote the action of γ_{A_a} and γ_{B_a} on the ith variable z_i.

For $f(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda, z_\alpha)$ a section of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$, set

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}}_{[\xi]} f(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda, z_\alpha) = (\partial_{[\xi]} f)(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda, z_\alpha) - (K_\xi f)(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda, z_\alpha), \tag{20}$$

where $[\xi]$ is the variation of moduli induced by a formal vector field ξ at P_0, and

$$(K_\xi f)(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda, z_\alpha) = \mathrm{res}_{z=P_0}(\xi(z)\left(T^{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar\omega}(z)f\right)(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda, z_\alpha)), \tag{21}$$

where

$$2\kappa\left(T^{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar\omega}(z)f\right)(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda, z_\alpha) = \tag{22}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_{\lambda_a} + 2\sum_\alpha G(z, z_\alpha) - \sum_i \Lambda_i G(z, P_i)\right)^2\right. \tag{23}$$

$$\left. + \sum_a D_z^{(2\lambda)}\omega_a(z)\partial_{\lambda_a} + 2\sum_\alpha (D_z^{(2\lambda)} \otimes 1)G(z, z_\alpha) - \sum_i \Lambda_i (D_z^{(2\lambda)} \otimes 1)G(z, P_i) + k\bar\omega_{2\lambda}(z)\right]$$

$$f(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda, z_\alpha)$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \left[-2G_{2\lambda}(z, z_\alpha)\left(\sum_a \omega_a(z_\alpha)\partial_{\lambda_a} + 2\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} G(z_\alpha, z_\beta) - \sum_i \Lambda_i G(z_\alpha, P_i)\right)\right.$$

$$\left. + (-4G_{2\lambda}(z, z_\alpha)G(z_\alpha, z) + 2k d_{z_\alpha} G_{2\lambda}(z, z_\alpha))\right] f(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda, z, (z_\beta)_{\beta\neq\alpha}).$$

Here $\kappa = k + 2$,

$$\bar\omega_{2\lambda}(z) = \lim_{z'\to z} 2d_{z'}[G_{2\lambda}(z, z') - G(z, z')] - 3\sum_a \omega_a(z) dr_a(z). \tag{24}$$

Proposition 3.1. *(see* [10]*)* $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}}$ *defines a flat connection over* $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$.

3.4. **The correlation functions morphism.** For $\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda)$ a section of $\widetilde{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$, let us set

$$[\mathrm{corr}(\psi)](m_\infty, P_i, v_i)(z_1, \ldots, z_N|\lambda) = \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda), \pi_{\mathbb{V}}(\prod_{i=1}^N e(z_i))(v\otimes(\otimes_i v_{-\Lambda_i}))\rangle,$$

where $e(z)$ is the generating series $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} e[t^i]z^{-i-1}dz$.

Proposition 3.2. *(see* [10]*)* corr *defines a morphism of sheaves with connection from* $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{(a)}, \widetilde{\nabla}^{(a)})$ *to* $(\mathcal{F}_{p,n}, \nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{p,n}})$.

Remark 6. In the case where k is a positive integer, one may translate the condition that f is in the image by corr of the subbundle of conformal blocks into functional conditions on f, see [10].

3.5. **Properties of** $\bar\omega_\lambda(z)$**.** The proof of Prop. 3.2 uses the following results, that we stated without proof in [10]. Let us set

$$\omega_\lambda(z) = \lim_{z'\to z} 2d_{z'}(G_\lambda(z, z') - G(z, z')). \tag{25}$$

Lemma 3.1. *$\omega_\lambda(z)$ is a quadratic differential on X, regular outside P_0. Its expansion at P_0 is*

$$\omega_\lambda(z) = -g(g-1)\frac{(dz)^2}{z^2} + O(1/z). \tag{26}$$

Proof. As we have seen, $G_\lambda(z,w)$ and $G(z,w)$ are rational forms defined on $X \times X$. The poles of $G_\lambda(z,w)$ are the diagonal and a pole of order $g-1$ at $w = P_0$; the poles of $G(z,w)$ are the diagonal and $z = P_0$ [$\Theta(z' - z + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)$ has a zero at $z' = Q_1, \cdots, Q_{g-1}$, however, logarithmic derivative with respect to z gives no singularity at this point]. Moreover, $(G_\lambda - G)(z,w)$ is regular at the diagonal, therefore $(G_\lambda - G)(z,w)$ has its only singularities at $w = P_0$ and $z = P_0$. It follows that $\omega_\lambda(z)$ is regular on $X - \{P_0\}$.

Let us prove (26). Since $(G_\lambda - G)(z,w)$ has its only singularities at $w = P_0$ and $z = P_0$, the difference of the expansions of $G_\lambda(z,w)$ and $G(z,w)$ (which belong to $\mathbb{C}((z))((w))dz$) belong to $\mathbb{C}[[z,w]][z^{-1}, w^{-1}]dz$. Applying d_w and setting $w = z$ in the latter expansion will therefore give us the expansion at P_0 of $\omega_\lambda(z)$.

Let us write

$$G_\lambda(z,w) = \sum_{i=1-g}^{0} \omega_{i,\lambda}^{out}(z) w^i + \sum_{i \geq 1} (\omega_{i,\lambda}^{out} - \omega_i^{out}) w^i.$$

It follows from the identities $\langle \omega_{i,\lambda}^{out}, w^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ if $j \geq 1-g$ and $\langle \omega_i^{out}, w^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ if $j \geq 1$ that

$$\omega_{i,\lambda}^{out}(z) = \frac{dz}{z^{i+1}} + O(z^{g-1}) \text{ if } i \geq 1-g, \quad (\omega_{i,\lambda}^{out} - \omega_i^{out})(z) = O(z^{-1}) \text{ if } i \geq 1.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(G_\lambda - G)(z,w) = \sum_{i=1-g}^{0} \frac{dz}{z^{i+1}}(w^i + \sum_{j \geq g-1} a_{ij} w^j) + \sum_{i \geq 1, j \geq -1} b_{ij} z^i w^j.$$

It follows that

$$[d_w(G_\lambda - G)(z,w)]_{|w=z} = (\sum_{i=1-g}^{0} i)\frac{(dz)^2}{z^2} + O(1/z) = -\frac{g(g-1)}{2}\frac{(dz)^2}{z^2} + O(1/z).$$

(26) follows. □

Corollary 3.1. *$\bar{\omega}_\lambda(z)$ is a quadratic differential on X, regular outside P_0. Its expansion at P_0 is*

$$\bar{\omega}_\lambda(z) = \frac{g(g+5)}{2}\frac{(dz)^2}{z^2} + O(1/z).$$

Proof. As we have seen, we can find dual bases $(\omega'_i)_{i=1,\ldots,g}$ and $(r'_i)_{i=1,\ldots,g}$ of $\oplus_{a=1}^{g} \mathbb{C}\omega_a$ and $\oplus_{a=1}^{g} \mathbb{C}r_a$, with $\omega'_i(z) = z^{i-1}dz + O(z^i)$, and $r'_i(z) = z^{-i} + O(z^{-i+1})$. It follows that $\sum_{a=1}^{g} \omega_a dr_a = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega'_a dr'_a = (\sum_{i=1}^{g} -i)\frac{(dz)^2}{z^2} + O(1/z)$, so

$$\sum_{a=1}^{g} \omega_a dr_a = -\frac{g(g+1)}{2} \frac{(dz)^2}{z^2} + O(1/z). \tag{27}$$

The Corollary now follows from (27) and Lemma 3.1. □

4. FLAT SECTIONS OF THE SHEAF $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}}$ IN THE CASE $n = N = 0$

In this section, we will restrict ourselves to the case $n = N = 0$ and write $\mathcal{F}$ instead of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$. A section of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is a function $f(m_\infty|\lambda)$, where m_∞ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty}$ and λ is a formal variable on $\mathbb{C}^g$.

We have

$$(T^{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar\omega}(z)f)(m_\infty|\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_{\lambda_a})^2 + (D^{(2\lambda)}\omega_a)(z)\partial_{\lambda_a} + k\bar\omega_{2\lambda}(z)\right] f,$$

and the flatness condition is written as

$$\partial_{[\xi]} f(m_\infty|\lambda) = \langle \xi(z), (T^{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar\omega}(z)f)(m_\infty|\lambda)\rangle_z. \tag{28}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let us set*

$$\begin{aligned} &S(m, P_0|z) = \qquad (29)\\ &\frac{\sum_{a,b,c}\omega_a\omega_b\omega_c(z)\partial^3_{abc}\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)}{\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)} - \frac{\sum_{a,b}\omega_a\omega'_b(z)\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)}{\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)}\\ &-\frac{\sum_{a,b}\omega_a\omega_b(z)\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)}{(\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta))^2}\cdot\\ &\cdot[\sum_{a,b}\omega_a\omega_b(z)\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta) - \sum_a \omega'_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0-\Delta)]. \end{aligned}$$

and $\bar S(m, P_0|z) = S(m, P_0|z) - 3\sum_a \omega_a(z) dr_a^{(P_0)}(z)$. $\bar S$ *is a quadratic differential on* X*, regular outside* P_0*, and with expansion at this point given by*

$$\bar S(m, P_0|z) = \frac{g(g+5)}{2}\frac{(dz)^2}{z^2} + O(1/z).$$

There exists a nonzero function $\alpha(m, P_0, v_0)$*, locally defined on* $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$*, homogeneous of degree* $\frac{g(g+5)}{2}$ *in* v_0*, such that*

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\alpha(m, P_0, v_0) = \langle \bar S(m, P_0|z), \xi(z)\rangle_z \alpha(m, P_0, v_0), \tag{30}$$

where $[\xi]$ *is the variation of moduli induced by the vector field* $\xi \in \mathbb{C}((t))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$.

The space of local flat sections of $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ *is the space of functions* $f(m_\infty|\lambda)$ *of the form*

$$f(m_\infty|\lambda) = \bar f(m_2|\lambda), \tag{31}$$

where m_2 *is the projection of* m_∞ *by the projection* $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$*, and* $\bar f(m_2|\lambda)$ *is of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar f(m, P_0, v_0|\lambda) =& \Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta|m)^{-1}\varphi(m|\kappa\lambda + \Delta - (g-1)P_0)\cdot \\ &\cdot \alpha(m, P_0, v_0)^{\frac{k}{2\kappa}}, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where φ is a function defined locally on $\mathcal{M}_g \times \mathbb{C}^g$ such that

$$\delta_{\delta m}\varphi(\lambda|m) = -\frac{\kappa}{8i\pi}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b}\varphi(\lambda|m), \tag{33}$$

where δm is a variation of moduli and $\delta\tau_{ab}$ is the corresponding variation of periods. (Let Σ_g be the space of Siegel matrices $\tau = (\tau_{ab})_{a,b=1,\dots,g}$, that is the space of matrices τ which are symmetric and with positive imaginary part. $\varphi(m|\lambda)$ may be of the form $\widetilde{\varphi}(\tau(m)|\lambda)$, where $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a function on $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{C}^g$, solution of the equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_{ab}}\widetilde{\varphi}(\tau|\lambda) = \frac{\kappa}{8i\pi}\partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b}\varphi(\tau|\lambda)$.)

Proof. We first prove:

Lemma 4.1. *Let ξ be a formal vector field defined around P_0. The variation of periods induced by ξ is*

$$\delta\tau_{ab} = 2i\pi\,\mathrm{res}_{P_0}(\xi\omega_a\omega_b). \tag{34}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((z))$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$. Let δm be the variation of moduli induced by ξ. The complex structure associated with $m + \delta m$ is defined by the inclusions of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ (which plays the role of the local ring of the new structure) and $R_\epsilon = (1+\epsilon\mathcal{L}_\xi)(R)$ in $\mathcal{K}$ (which is the new ring of regular functions). The space of formal differential forms at P_0 is $\Omega_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathbb{C}((z))dz$, the space of regular forms at P_0 is $\Omega = \mathbb{C}[[z]]dz$; denote by Ω_R the space of forms regular outside P_0. Then we have $\Omega_\epsilon = (1+\epsilon\mathcal{L}_\xi)\Omega_R$, where $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ is the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_\xi(\omega) = d_z(\xi\omega)$.

The deformation of ω_a is then

$$\omega'_a = (1+\epsilon\mathcal{L}_\xi)(\omega_a) + \delta\omega_a,$$

with $\delta\omega_a$ regular outside P_0 and with vanishing A_a-periods, such that $\epsilon\mathcal{L}_\xi(\omega_a) + \delta\omega_a$ is regular at P_0. Since $\delta\omega_a$ has vanishing A_a-periods, we have $\delta\omega_a = -\frac{1}{2i\pi}\sum_b \delta\tau_{ab}dr_b + dr$, for some r in R (the r_b are such that $r_b(\gamma_{B_a}z) = r_b(z) - 2i\pi\delta_{ab}$; they are dual to the ω_a). Since ω'_a belongs to $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}$, its pairing with all functions of $\mathcal{O}$ vanishes; pairing it with the $\int_{P_0}^z \omega_a$, we find (34). □

Let δ be an odd theta-divisor of X (see e.g. [11]).

Lemma 4.2. *For $a = 1,\dots,g$, let $Q_1^{(a)},\dots,Q_{2g-2}^{(a)}$ be the zeroes of ω_a; we have*

$$\bar{D}\omega_a(z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2g-2} d_z \ln\Theta(z - Q_i^{(a)} + \delta - \Delta) - 2(g-1)d_z\ln\Theta(z - P_0 + \delta - \Delta)\right)\omega_a(z).$$

Proof. It follows from Prop. 2.2 that when $\bar{\alpha}_{R_0}$ is not identically zero,

$$\frac{\bar{D}\omega_a}{\omega_a} = \frac{d(\omega_a/\bar{\alpha}_{R_0})}{\omega_a/\bar{\alpha}_{R_0}}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{\omega_a}{\bar{\alpha}_{R_0}}(z) = c(R_0)\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{2g-2}\Theta(z - Q_i^{(a)} + \delta - \Delta)}{\Theta(z - P_0 + \delta - \Delta)^{2(g-1)}},$$

where $c(R_0)$ is a constant, because both sides are meromorphic functions on $\widetilde{X}$ with zeroes and poles of the same orders at the same points, and identical transformation properties along cycles of X (because of the equality $\sum_i Q_i^{(a)} = 2\Delta$ in $J^{2(g-1)}(X)$). ☐

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$\partial_{[\xi]} \int_{(g-1)P_0}^{\Delta} \omega_a = \frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{D}\omega_a, \xi\rangle.$$

Proof. Since $2\Delta = \sum_i Q_i^{(a)}$, we have

$$\int_{(g-1)P_0}^{\Delta} \omega_a = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i \int_{P_0}^{Q_i^{(a)}} \omega_a.$$

Now

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\int_{P_0}^{Q_i^{(a)}} \omega_a) = \int_{P_0}^{Q_i^{(a)}} \delta_{[\xi]}\omega_a,$$

because ω_a vanishes at each $Q_i^{(a)}$. It follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1 that

$$\delta_{[\xi]}\omega_a = d(\xi\omega_a) - [d(\xi\omega_a)]_{out},$$

where $\alpha \mapsto \alpha_{out}$ is the projection on the second component of the direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{C}((t))dt = K \oplus t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt$, where K is the space of Laurent expansions at P_0 of all forms on X, regular outside P_0, with vanishing integrals along a-cycles.

Therefore

$$\delta_{[\xi]}\omega_a(z) = d_z(\xi\omega_a)(z) + d_z \operatorname{res}_{w=P_0}\left(d_w \ln\Theta(w - z + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)\xi(w)\omega_a(w)\right).$$

By the properties of sheaf cohomology, we may assume that ξ is defined inside a loop γ deforming the standard loop encircling P_0 and containing both P_0 and the $Q_i^{(a)}$. We have then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{[\xi]}(\int_{(g-1)P_0}^{\Delta} \omega_a) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_i \int_{P_0}^{Q_i^{(a)}} d_z(\xi(z)\omega_a(z)) - \operatorname{res}_{w=P_0} G(z,w) d_w(\xi(w)\omega_a(w)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_i \int_{P_0}^{Q_i^{(a)}} \int_\gamma d_z d_w \ln\Theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta)\xi(w)\omega_a(w)\end{aligned}$$

by the properties of the Green function. Integrating in z, we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\gamma [-2(g-1)d_w \ln\Theta(w - P_0 + \delta - \Delta) + \sum_i d_w \ln\Theta(w - Q_i^{(a)} + \delta - \Delta)]\omega_a(w)\xi(w).$$

By Lemma 4.2, this is $\frac{1}{2}\langle\bar{D}\omega_a, \xi\rangle$. ☐

Lemma 4.4. *Recall that* $\omega_\lambda(z) = \lim_{z'\to z} 2d_{z'}(G_\lambda(z,z') - G(z,z'))$*. We have*

$$\langle \omega_{2\lambda}, \xi\rangle = \sum_a 2\partial_a \ln\Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)(\partial_{[\xi]}\int_{(g-1)P_0}^{\Delta}\omega_a) \tag{35}$$
$$+ \sum_{a,b} \frac{\delta\tau_{ab}}{-2i\pi}\frac{\partial^2_{ab}\Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}{\Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}$$
$$+ \langle S(P_0, m|z), \xi\rangle,$$

with $S(m, P_0|z)$ *given by (29).*

Proof. Recall that $\widetilde{G}(z,w) = d_z \ln\Theta(z - w + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)$, and that $Q_1, \dots, Q_{g-1}$ are the zeroes (other than P_0) of $\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)$. We have $\Theta(z - w + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta) = \Theta(w - z + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} Q_i - \Delta)$. There exist functions f and g of one variable such that

$$\frac{\Theta(w - z + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} Q_i - \Delta)}{\Theta(w - z + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)} = f(z)g(w) \tag{36}$$

(see [11]), therefore $\widetilde{G}(z,w) - G(z,w)$ is constant in w. It follows that $d_w\widetilde{G}(z,w) = d_w G(z,w)$, so that

$$\omega_\lambda(z) = 2d_w[G_\lambda(z,w) - \widetilde{G}(z,w)]_{|w=z}.$$

Therefore,

$$\omega_\lambda(z) = [d_w\left\{\frac{\frac{\Theta(z-w+(g-1)P_0-\lambda-\Delta)}{\Theta((g-1)P_0-\lambda-\Delta)} - 1}{\Theta(z - w + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)\right\}$$
$$+ d_w(\frac{\sum_a \omega_a(z)[\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta) - \partial_a\Theta(z - w + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)]}{\Theta(z - w + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)})]_{w=z}.$$

Expanding both terms around $w = z$, we find

$$\omega_{2\lambda}(z) =$$
$$-\frac{-\sum_a \omega'_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0 - 2\lambda - \Delta) + \sum_{a,b}\omega_a(z)\omega_b(z)\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P_0 - 2\lambda - \Delta)}{\Theta((g-1)P_0 - 2\lambda - \Delta)}$$
$$+\frac{-\sum_a \omega'_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta) + \sum_{a,b}\omega_a(z)\omega_b(z)\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}{\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)}\cdot$$
$$\cdot\frac{\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_a\Theta((g-1)P_0 - 2\lambda - \Delta)}{\Theta((g-1)P_0 - 2\lambda - \Delta)} + S(m, P_0|z).$$

It follows from (13) that

$$\omega_{2\lambda}(z) = S(m, P_0|z) + \sum_a \bar{D}\omega_a(z)\partial_a \ln\Theta((g-1)P_0 - 2\lambda - \Delta) - \sum_{a,b} \omega_a\omega_b(z)\frac{\partial^2_{ab}\Theta((g-1)P_0 - 2\lambda - \Delta)}{\Theta((g-1)P_0 - 2\lambda - \Delta)}; \tag{37}$$

the Lemma now follows from Lemma 4.3. □

Let us prove Thm. 4.1. (37) and Cor. 3.1 imply the statements on $\bar{S}(m, P_0|z)$. (34) is equivalent to

$$\partial_{[\xi]} f(m_\infty|\lambda) = \frac{1}{8i\pi\kappa}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b} f(m_\infty|\lambda) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_a \langle D^{(2\lambda)}\omega_a(z), \xi\rangle \partial_{\lambda_a} f(m_\infty|\lambda) + \frac{k}{2\kappa}\langle\bar{\omega}_{2\lambda}(z), \xi\rangle f(m_\infty|\lambda).$$

It follows from

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\Theta(\epsilon|m) = \frac{1}{4i\pi}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{ab}\Theta(\epsilon|m)$$

that if we set

$$g(m_\infty|\lambda) = f(m_\infty|\lambda)\Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta|m),$$

the latter equality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{[\xi]} g(m_\infty|\lambda) &= \frac{1}{8i\pi\kappa}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b} g(m_\infty|\lambda) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_a \langle\bar{D}\omega_a, \xi\rangle\partial_{\lambda_a} g(m_\infty|\lambda) \\ &+ \left(\sum_{a,b} -\frac{1}{2i\pi}\frac{\delta\tau_{ab}}{\kappa}\frac{\partial^2_{ab}\Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta|m)}{\Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta|m)} + \partial_{[\xi]}\ln\Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta|m)\right) \\ &g(m_\infty|\lambda) \\ &+ \left(\sum_a \frac{1}{2\kappa}\langle\widetilde{D}\omega_a, \xi\rangle 2\partial_a\ln\Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta|m) + \frac{k}{2\kappa}\langle\bar{\omega}_{2\lambda}, \xi\rangle\right) g(m_\infty|\lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.4, that the coefficient of $g(m_\infty|\lambda)$ in the right side of this equality is equal to

$$\frac{k}{2\kappa}\langle\bar{S}(m, P_0|z), \xi(z)\rangle_z,$$

so that (38) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{[\xi]} g(m_\infty|\lambda) = \frac{1}{8i\pi\kappa}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b} g(m_\infty|\lambda) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_a\langle\bar{D}\omega_a, \xi\rangle\partial_{\lambda_a} g(m_\infty|\lambda) \\ + \frac{k}{2\kappa}\langle\bar{S}(m, P_0|z), \xi(z)\rangle_z g(m_\infty|\lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Set then

$$h(m_\infty|\lambda') = g(m_\infty|\frac{1}{\kappa}(\lambda' + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)).$$

Set $\lambda = \frac{1}{\kappa}(\lambda' + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)$. We have

$$\partial_{[\xi]} h(m_\infty|\lambda') = \partial_{[\xi]} g(m_\infty|\lambda)$$

$$+ \sum_a \langle \partial_{[\xi]}[\frac{1}{\kappa}((g-1)P_0 - \Delta)], \omega_a\rangle \partial_{\lambda_a} g(m_\infty|\lambda)$$

$$= \frac{1}{8i\pi\kappa}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b} g(m_\infty|\lambda) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\sum_a \langle \bar D\omega_a, \xi\rangle \partial_{\lambda_a} g(m_\infty|\lambda)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\kappa}\sum_a \langle \partial_{[\xi]}((g-1)P_0 - \Delta), \omega_a\rangle \partial_{\lambda_a} g(m_\infty|\lambda) + \frac{k}{2\kappa}\langle \xi(z), \bar S(m, P_0|z)\rangle_z g(m_\infty|\lambda),$$

Lemma 4.3 implies that the second and third terms of this expression are opposite. (39) is therefore equivalent to

$$\partial_{[\xi]} h(m_\infty|\lambda') = \frac{\kappa}{8i\pi}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda'_a\lambda'_b} h(m_\infty|\lambda') + \frac{k}{2\kappa}\langle \xi(z), \bar S(m, P_0|z)\rangle_z h(m_\infty|\lambda'). \tag{40}$$

Consider the connection over the trivial bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$ with fiber $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda']]$, defined by

$$\nabla^{aux}_{[\xi]} = \partial_\xi - \left(\frac{\kappa}{8i\pi}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda'_a\lambda'_b} + \langle \bar\xi(z), S(m, P_0, z)\rangle_z\right).$$

It follows from Thm. 1.1 that this connection is flat. Therefore, the connection over the trivial bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$ with fiber $\mathbb{C}$, defined by

$$\nabla^{aux\prime}_{[\xi]} = \partial_\xi - \langle \bar S(m, P_0, v_0), \xi\rangle,$$

is flat. This implies the existence of a nonzero local solution to (30).

Moreover, since (40) is separated, its local solutions are exactly the functions $h(m_\infty|\lambda')$ of the form $\alpha(m, P_0, v_0)\varphi(\lambda')$, where $\alpha(m, P_0, v_0)$ is a solution of (30) and $\varphi(\lambda')$ is a solutions to

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\varphi(\lambda') = \frac{\kappa}{8i\pi}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda'_a\lambda'_b}\varphi(\lambda').$$

This ends the proof of Thm. 4.1. □

Remark 7. Conjecture on the form of $\alpha(m, P_0, v_0)$. The $\frac{g}{2}$-form $\sigma(z)$ is determined up to multiplication by a $(g-1)$th root of 1, by the identity

$$\Theta(gx - y - \Delta) = W(x)E(x,y)^g\frac{\sigma(y)}{\sigma(x)^g},$$

where $W(x)$ is the Wronskian of the forms $(\omega_a)_{a=1,\dots,g}$, $E(x,y)$ is the prime form relative to the divisor δ (its is normalized by its behavior at the diagonal

$E(x,y) \sim (x-y)(dx)^{-1/2}(dy)^{-1/2}$). We will emphazise the moduli dependence of $\sigma(z)$ by writing it $\sigma(m|z)$ (the functional properties of σ mean that it realizes the isomorphism of $\Omega_X^{g/2}$ with $\underline{\Theta}^{g-1}$, where $\underline{\Theta}$ is the theta line bundle over X).

We expect that the "logarithmic primitives" of the forms $S(m,P_0,z)$ and $\sum_a \omega_a dr_a^{(P_0)}$ will be respectively of the form $f(m)\sigma(m|z)^{-2(g-1)}$ and $W(m|z)^{-1}$, where $f(m)$ has the form $\exp(\sum_{a,b}\alpha_{ab}\tau_{ab})$. More precisely, we should have

$$\varsigma(m,P_0,v_0) := (f(m)\sigma(m|P_0)^{-2(g-1)}, v_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \varpi(m,P_0,v_0) := (W(m|P_0)^{-1}, v_0)$$

are functions on $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$, such that

$$\partial_{[\xi]} \ln \varsigma(m,P_0,v_0) = \langle S(m,P_0|\cdot),\xi\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{[\xi]} \ln \varpi(m,P_0,v_0) = \langle \sum_a \omega_a dr_a^{(P_0)},\xi\rangle.$$

These statements imply that $\alpha(m,P_0,v_0)$ has the form

$$\alpha(m,P_0,v_0) = (f(m)\sigma(m|P_0)^{-2(g-1)}W(m|P_0)^3, v_0).$$

We checked the restrictions of these statements to the submanifold of Mumford curves.

5. FLAT SECTIONS OF $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ IN THE CASE $N = 0$, n ARBITRARY

We assume now that ℓ and the Λ_i are such that $N = 0$ and n is ≥ 0. We again write $\mathcal{F}$ for the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$. We have

$$(T^{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar\omega}(z)f)(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) =$$

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_{\lambda_a} - \sum_i \Lambda_i G(z, P_i)_{z \ll P_i})^2 + \right.$$

$$\left.\sum_a (D^{(2\lambda)}\omega_a)(z)\partial_{\lambda_a} - \sum_i \Lambda_i D^{(2\lambda)}_z G(z, P_i)_{z\ll P_i} + k\bar\omega_{2\lambda}(z)\right] f(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda).$$

A flat section of the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a function $f(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda)$ satisfying

$$\partial_{[\xi]} f(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) = (T^{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar\omega}(z)f)(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda). \tag{41}$$

Let us fix an odd nonsingular theta-characteristic $\underline{\delta}$. $\underline{\delta}+\Delta$ is an effective divisor of $J^{g-1}(X)$. We have $\underline{\delta} = 2i\pi\varepsilon + \tau\varepsilon'$, with $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$ in $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})^g$, and

$$\Theta[\underline{\delta}](\mathbf{z}) = \Theta(\mathbf{z} + \underline{\delta})\exp(\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon'\tau\varepsilon'^t + (\mathbf{z} + 2i\pi\varepsilon)\varepsilon'^t). \tag{42}$$

Let us set $\widetilde\Theta = \Theta[\underline{\delta}]$. $\widetilde\Theta$ is an odd function of $\mathbf{z}$. The divisor of the one-form $\sum_a \partial_a\widetilde\Theta(\underline{\delta})\omega_a(z)$ is $2\underline{\delta}$ (this one-form is called a Prym form). Let $\psi(z)$ be a half-form such that

$$\psi(z)^2 = \sum_a \partial_a\widetilde\Theta(\underline{\delta})\omega_a(z). \tag{43}$$

The prime-form $E(z, w)$ is then defined by

$$E(z, w) = \frac{\widetilde\Theta(z - w)}{\psi(z)\psi(w)}.$$

The aim of Prop. 5.1 is to integrate the part of $T^{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar\omega}(z)f$ which is linear in Λ_i.

Proposition 5.1. *1) For Q in X, let us set*

$$S'(m, P_0, Q|z) = -\sum_{a,b}\frac{1}{2}(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)\frac{\partial^2_{ab}\Theta}{\Theta}(gP_0 - Q - \Delta)$$

$$+\sum_a \frac{\partial_a\Theta}{\Theta}(gP_0 - Q - \Delta)[\frac{1}{2}\bar D\omega_a(z) + \omega_a(z)G(z, Q)] - \frac{1}{2}G(z, Q)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\bar D_z G(z, Q).$$

The function $S'(m, P_0, Q|z)$ is independent of Q. We denote it $S'(m, P_0|z)$. It is a regular quadratic differential on $X - \{P_0\}$. It has the expansion at P_0

$$S'(m, P_0|z) = -g\frac{(dz_{P_0})^2}{z^2_{P_0}} + O(1/z_{P_0}), \tag{44}$$

where z_{P_0} is a local coordinate at P_0.

2) There exists a function $\beta(m, P_0, v_0)$, locally defined on $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$, homogeneous of degree $-g$ in v_0, satisfying the equation

$$\partial_{[\xi]} \ln \beta(m, P_0, v_0) = \langle \xi(z), S'(m, P_0, z)\rangle_z. \tag{45}$$

We will denote by $\beta(m, P_0)$ the $-g$-form such that $\beta(m, P_0, v_0) = (\beta(m, P_0), v_0)$.

We have then

$$\partial_{[\xi]} \ln\{\frac{1}{\Theta(gP_0 - P_i - \Delta)}(E(P_0, P_i), v_0 \otimes v_i)(\beta(m, P_0), v_0)\} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \xi, \bar{D}_z G(z, P_i)\rangle. \tag{46}$$

Theorem 5.1. *The solutions of (41) are all of the form*

$$f_\varphi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) = \prod_{i<j}\left(\frac{E(P_i, P_j)}{E(P_0, P_i)E(P_0, P_j)}, v_0\right)^{\frac{\Lambda_i\Lambda_j}{2\kappa}} \prod_i (E(P_0, P_i), v_0 \otimes v_i)^{-\frac{\Lambda_i(\Lambda_i+2)}{2\kappa}} \cdot \tag{47}$$

$$\cdot \prod_i \left(\frac{(\beta(m, P_0), v_0)}{\Theta(gP_0 - P_i - \Delta)}\right)^{-\frac{\Lambda_i}{\kappa}} \alpha(m, P_0, v_0)^{\frac{k}{2\kappa}} \cdot$$

$$\cdot\, \varphi(m|\kappa\lambda + \Delta - (g-1)P_0 - \sum_i \Lambda_i(P_i - P_0))\Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)^{-1},$$

where $\varphi(m|\lambda)$ is a solution of

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\varphi(m|\lambda) = \frac{\kappa}{8i\pi}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b}\varphi(m|\lambda).$$

(At the end of Thm. 4.1, we indicate how such functions φ can be naturally obtained.)

Before we turn to the proof of Prop. 5.1 and Thm. 5.1, we will compute logarithmic derivatives of functions defined on $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$ (sect. 5.1). These computations will serve to integrate the part of $T^{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}(z)f$ which is quadratic in the Λ_i: Prop. 5.2 will treat the terms in $\Lambda_i\Lambda_j$ and Prop. 5.3 will treat the terms in Λ_i^2.

5.1. **Integration of quadratic terms in the Λ_i.**

Proposition 5.2. *Assume that $i \neq j$. We have*

$$\partial_{[\xi]} \ln(\frac{E(P_i, P_j)}{E(P_0, P_i)E(P_0, P_j)}, v_0) = \langle \xi(z), G(z, P_i)_{z\ll P_i} G(z, P_j)_{z\ll P_j}\rangle_z.$$

Proof. We first show:

Lemma 5.1.

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\int_{P_0}^{P_i}\omega_a) = \mathrm{res}_{z=P_0}[(\xi\omega_a)(z)G(z, P_i)_{z\ll P_i}].$$

Proof of Lemma. $\int_{P_0}^{P_i}\omega_a + \epsilon\partial_{[\xi]}(\int_{P_0}^{P_i}\omega_a)$ is equal to

$$\lim_{t\to P_0}\int_t^{P_i'}\omega_a', \tag{48}$$

where P_i' is the point corresponding to P_i in the curve $\mathrm{Spec}(R_\epsilon) = \mathrm{Spec}(1+\epsilon\xi)(R)$. Recall from the proof of Lemma 4.1 that we have $\omega_a' = (1+\epsilon\mathcal{L}_\xi)(\omega_a) + \epsilon\delta_{[\xi]}\omega_a$, where $\delta_{[\xi]}\omega_a = -[d(\xi\omega_a)]_{out}$. Changing (48) into an integral over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$, we find that (48) is equal to

$$\lim_{t\to P_0}\int_{(1-\epsilon\xi)(t)}^{P_i}(\omega_a + \epsilon\delta_{[\xi]}\omega_a). \tag{49}$$

(49) is equal to

$$\int_{P_0}^{P_i}\omega_a + \epsilon[\lim_{t\to P_0}\int_{(1-\epsilon\xi)(t)}^{P_i} -(d(\xi\omega_a))_{out}],$$

therefore the derivative $\partial_{[\xi]}(\int_{P_0}^{P_i}\omega_a)$ is equal to

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\int_{P_0}^{P_i}\omega_a) = \lim_{t\to P_0}[-\int_t^{P_i}[d(\xi\omega_a)]_{out} + (\xi\omega_a)(t)]. \tag{50}$$

Let us set, for f in $\mathcal{K}$,

$$\phi_{P_i}(f) = \lim_{t\to P_0}[-\int_t^{P_i}(df)_{out} + f(t)].$$

We find that for f in R_a, $\phi_{P_i}(f) = -f(P_i)$ and for f in $z\mathbb{C}[[z]]$, $\phi_{P_i}(f) = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\phi_{P_i}(f) = -\langle G(z,P_i)_{z\ll P_i}, f(z)\rangle_z. \tag{51}$$

The Lemma now follows from (51) and (50). □

Lemma 5.2. *We have*

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\ln\frac{\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_j)}{\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_0)\widetilde{\Theta}(P_j-P_0)}) = \langle\xi, \gamma(\cdot,P_i,P_j)\rangle,$$

where

$$\gamma(z,P_i,P_j) = \sum_{a,b=1}^{g}\frac{1}{2}(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)\left(\frac{\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_i-P_j) - \frac{\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_i-P_0) - \frac{\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_j-P_0)\right)$$
$$+\sum_a \omega_a(z)G(z,P_i)_{z\ll P_i}\left(\frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_i-P_j) - \frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_i-P_0)\right)$$
$$+\sum_a \omega_a(z)G(z,P_j)_{z\ll P_j}\left(\frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_j-P_i) - \frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_j-P_0)\right).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{[\xi]}\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_0) &= \sum_{a,b}\partial_{[\xi]}\tau_{ab}\frac{1}{4i\pi}\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_0)+\sum_a \partial_{[\xi]}\Big(\int_{P_0}^{P_i}\omega_a\Big)\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_0)\\ &= \langle \xi, \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b}(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_0)+\sum_a \omega_a(z)G(z,P_i)_{z\ll P_i}\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_0)\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

by virtue of Lemmas 4.1 and 5.1. In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{[\xi]}\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_j) = \langle \xi, \frac{1}{2}&\sum_{1\le a,b\le g}(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_0)\\ &+\sum_a \omega_a(z)[G(z,P_i)_{z\ll P_i}-G(z,P_j)_{z\ll P_j}]\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_0)\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The Lemma follows. ☐

Lemma 5.3. *We have*

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\omega_a(P_0),v_0) = \langle \xi(z), -\sum_{b,c=1}^{g}\partial^2_{bc}\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(z-P_0)(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)(\omega_c(P_0),v_0)\rangle_z.$$

Proof. $(\omega_a(P_0),v_0)+\epsilon\partial_{[\xi]}(\omega_a(P_0),v_0)$ is equal to $(\omega'_a(P_0),v_0)$, in the notation of the proof of Lemma 4.1. Therefore, if we set $\omega_{in}=\omega-\omega_{out}$, we have

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\omega_a(P_0),v_0) = (\ (d(\xi\omega_a))_{in}(P_0),v_0\ ).$$

We have $(df)_{in}=0$ if f belongs to R_a and $(df)_{in}=df$ if f belongs to $z\mathbb{C}[[z]]$, therefore for f in $\mathcal{K}$, we have $(df)_{in}(u)=\langle f(t),d_uG(t,u)_{u\ll t}\rangle_t$ (the pairing is in variable t). Therefore,

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\omega_a(P_0),v_0) = (\langle \xi(t),\omega_a(t)d_uG(t,u)_{u\ll t}\rangle_{t|u=P_0},v_0).$$

The identity (36) implies that $d_uG(t,u)=d_ud_t\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(t-u)$. The Lemma now follows from the equalities $d_uG(t,u)=d_ud_t\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(t-u)=-\sum_{b,c}\partial^2_{bc}\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(t-u)\omega_b(t)\omega_c(u)$. ☐

Lemma 5.4. *We have*

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\psi(P_0)^2,v_0)=\langle\xi,\rho\rangle,$$

where

$$\rho(z)=$$

$$\sum_{a,b,c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial^3_{abc}\widetilde{\Theta}(0)(\omega_b\omega_c)(z)(\omega_a(P_0),v_0)-\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(0)\partial^2_{bc}\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(z-P_0)(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)(\omega_c(P_0),v_0)\right).$$

Proof. This follows from (43), Lemma 5.3 and

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(0)) = \langle \xi(z), \sum_{b,c} \frac{1}{2}\partial^3_{abc}\widetilde{\Theta}(0)(\omega_b\omega_c)(z)\rangle.$$

□

Lemma 5.5. *We have*

$$\partial_{[\xi]} \ln(\frac{E(P_i,P_j)}{E(P_i,P_0)E(P_j,P_0)}, v_0) = \langle \xi, \gamma'(\cdot, P_i, P_j)\rangle,$$

where

$$\gamma'(z,P_i,P_j) = \gamma(z,P_i,P_j) + \frac{1}{\sum_a \omega_a(P_0)\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(0)} \cdot$$
$$\cdot \sum_{a,b,c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial^3_{abc}\widetilde{\Theta}(0)(\omega_b\omega_c)(z)\omega_a(P_0) - \partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(0)\partial^2_{bc}\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(z-P_0)(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)\omega_c(P_0)\right).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4. □

Lemma 5.6.

$$\gamma'(z,P_i,P_j) = G(z,P_i)_{z\ll P_i}G(z,P_j)_{z\ll P_j}.$$

Proof. Let us set $\gamma''(z,P_i,P_j) = \gamma(z,P_i,P_j) - G(z,P_i)_{z\ll P_i}G(z,P_j)_{z\ll P_j}$. $\gamma''(z,P_i,P_j)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{a,b}(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)\{\ &\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_i-P_j) - \frac{\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_i-P_0) - \frac{\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_j-P_0)) \\ &+ [\frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_i-P_j) - \frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_i-P_0)][\frac{\partial_b\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-P_i)_{z\ll P_i} - \frac{\partial_b\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-P_0)] \\ &+ [\frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_j-P_i) - \frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(P_j-P_0)][\frac{\partial_b\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-P_j)_{z\ll P_i} - \frac{\partial_b\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-P_0)] \\ &- [\frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-P_i)_{z\ll P_i} - \frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-P_0)][\frac{\partial_b\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-P_j)_{z\ll P_i} - \frac{\partial_b\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-P_0)]\ \}.\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

(53) has an obvious analytic prolongation to $\widetilde{X}$, which we still denote $\gamma''(z,P_i,P_j)$. Let us study its behaviour as a function of P_i. It has no poles at P_j, P_0 or z. Moreover, the rules

$$\frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(\gamma_{B_c}(z)-w) = \frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-w) - \delta_{ac},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(\gamma_{B_c}(z)-w) = \frac{\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-w) - \delta_{ac}\frac{\partial_b\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-w) - \delta_{bc}\frac{\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}}(z-w) + \delta_{ac}\delta_{bc}$$

imply that $P_i \mapsto \gamma''(z, P_i, P_j)$ is univalued on X. It follows that $P_i \mapsto \gamma''(z, P_i, P_j)$ is a constant map. $\gamma''(z, P_i, P_j)$ is obviously symmetric in P_i and P_j. Therefore, it is constant as a function of (P_i, P_j).

It follows that $\gamma''(z, P_i, P_j)$ is equal to $\lim_{P_i \to P_0} \gamma''(z, P_i, P_j)$. Let us fix a coordinate at P_0 and let ϵ be the coordinate of P_i. We have the expansions

$$\widetilde\Theta(P_i - P_0) = \epsilon \sum_a \omega_a(P_0)\partial_a \widetilde\Theta(0) + o(\epsilon),$$

and since $\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde\Theta(0) = 0$,

$$\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde\Theta(P_i - P_0) = \epsilon \sum_c \omega_c(P_0)\partial^2_{abc}\widetilde\Theta(0) + o(\epsilon),$$

therefore

$$\lim_{P_i \to P_0} -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta}(P_i - P_0) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sum_c \omega_c(P_0)\partial^2_{abc}\widetilde\Theta(0)}{\sum_a \omega_a(P_0)\partial_a\widetilde\Theta(0)}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{\partial_a\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta}(P_i - P_0) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{\partial_a\widetilde\Theta(0)}{\sum_a \omega_a(P_0)\partial_a\widetilde\Theta(0)} + O(1),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial_b\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta}(z - P_i) - \frac{\partial_b\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta}(z - P_0) = -\epsilon \sum_a \omega_a(P_0)\partial_a\left(\frac{\partial_b\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta}\right)(z - P_0).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{P_i \to P_0}\left[\frac{\partial_a\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta}(P_i - P_j) - \frac{\partial_a\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta}(P_i - P_0)\right]\left[\frac{\partial_b\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta}(z - P_i) - \frac{\partial_b\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta}(z - P_0)\right]\\ &= \partial_a\widetilde\Theta(0)\frac{\sum_c \omega_c(P_0)\partial_c(\frac{\partial_b\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta})(z - P_0)}{\sum_a \omega_a(P_0)\partial_a\widetilde\Theta(0)}.\end{aligned}$$

The limit of the two last lines of (53) is zero when P_i tends to P_0. Therefore $\gamma''(z, P_i, P_j)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{a,b}(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sum_c \omega_c(P_0)\partial^2_{abc}\widetilde\Theta(0)}{\sum_a \omega_a(P_0)\partial_a\widetilde\Theta(0)} + \partial_a\widetilde\Theta(0)\frac{\sum_c \omega_c(P_0)\partial_c(\frac{\partial_b\widetilde\Theta}{\widetilde\Theta})(z - P_0)}{\sum_a \omega_a(P_0)\partial_a\widetilde\Theta(0)}\right].$$

This proves the Lemma. □

Prop. 5.2 now follows from Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6. □

Proposition 5.3. *Recall that elements of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,1^2}$ correspond to quadruples (X, t, P_i, v_i), where t is a formal of coordinate at a point P_0 of X, P_i is a point of $X - \{P_0\}$*

and v_i is a tangent vector at this point. We denote by v_0 the tangent vector at P_0 obtained from the coordinate t. Then we have

$$\partial_{[\xi]} \ln(E(P_0,P_i)^{-2}, v_0\otimes v_i) = \langle \xi(z), G(z,P_i)^2_{z\ll P_i}\rangle_z.$$

Proof. We first prove

Lemma 5.7. *Let us set*

$$\rho_i(z) = \sum_{a,b,c}\frac{1}{2}\partial^3_{abc}\widetilde{\Theta}(0)(\omega_b\omega_c)(z)(\omega_a(P_i),v_i) - \partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(0)\partial^2_{bc}\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(z-P_i)(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)(\omega_c(P_i),v_i).$$

Then we have

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\psi(P_i)^2, v_i) = \langle \xi, \rho_i\rangle.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{[\xi]}(\psi(P_i)^2, v_i) &= \partial_{[\xi]}\{\sum_a \partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(0)(\omega_a(P_i),v_i)\}\\ &= \sum_a(\partial_{[\xi]}\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(0))(\omega_a(P_i),v_i) + \sum_a\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(0)\partial_{[\xi]}(\omega_a(P_i),v_i)\\ &= \sum_a\langle\xi,\frac{1}{2}\sum_{b,c}(\omega_b\omega_c)(z)\partial^3_{abc}(0)\rangle(\omega_a(P_i),v_i) + \sum_a\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(0)\partial_{[\xi]}(\omega_a(P_i),v_i).\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

We have $\partial_{[\xi]}\omega_a = -[d(\xi\omega_a)]_{out}$ so

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\omega_a(P_i),v_i) = -([d(\xi\omega_a)]_{out}, v_i).$$

As we have seen, for any f in $\mathcal{K}$, we have

$$(df)_{out}(P_i) = \sum_{b,c}\omega_c(P_i)\langle f(z), \partial^2_{bc}\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(z-P_i)_{z\ll P_i}\omega_b(z)\rangle_z.$$

It follows that

$$\partial_{[\xi]}(\omega_a(P_i),v_i) = -\langle\xi, \sum_{b,c}\partial^2_{bc}\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(z-P_i)_{z\ll P_i}(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)(\omega_c(P_i),v_i)\rangle. \tag{55}$$

The Lemma now follows from (55) and (54). □

We have then

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{[\xi]}\ln(E(P_0,P_i)^{-2}, v_0\otimes v_i) = \partial_{[\xi]}\ln(\psi(P_0)^2,v_0) + \partial_{[\xi]}\ln(\psi(P_i)^2,v_i) - 2\partial_{[\xi]}\ln\widetilde{\Theta}(P_0-P_i)\\ &= \langle\xi,\frac{\rho}{(\psi(P_0)^2,v_0)}\rangle + \langle\xi,\frac{\rho_i}{(\psi(P_i)^2,v_i)}\rangle\\ &+\langle\xi, -\sum_{a,b}(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)\partial^2_{ab}\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_0) - 2\sum_a\omega_a(z)G(z,P_i)_{z\ll P_i}\partial_a\widetilde{\Theta}(P_i-P_0)\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

by virtue of (52) and Lemmas 5.4 and 5.7.

We have then

Lemma 5.8. *We have*

$$\frac{\rho(z)}{(\psi(P_0)^2, v_0)} + \frac{\rho_i(z)}{(\psi(P_i)^2, v_i)}$$
$$- \sum_{a,b} (\omega_a \omega_b)(z) \partial^2_{ab} \widetilde{\Theta}(P_i - P_0) - 2 \sum_a \omega_a(z) G(z, P_i)_{z \ll P_i} \partial_a \widetilde{\Theta}(P_i - P_0) = G(z, P_i)^2_{z \ll P_i}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.6 that $\gamma'(z, P_i, P_j) = G(z, P_i)_{z \ll P_i} G(z, P_j)_{z \ll P_j}$. Therefore, $G(z, P_i)^2_{z \ll P_i} = \lim_{P_j \to P_i} \gamma'(z, P_i, P_j)$. The latter expression is equal to

$$\lim_{P_j \to P_j} \gamma(z, P_i, P_j) + \frac{\rho(z)}{(\psi(P_0)^2, v_0)}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{P_j \to P_j} \gamma(z, P_i, P_j) \\ &= - \sum_{a,b} (\omega_a \omega_b)(z) \partial^2_{ab} \widetilde{\Theta}(P_i - P_j) - 2 \sum_a \omega_a(z) G(z, P_i)_{z \ll P_i} \partial_a \widetilde{\Theta}(P_i - P_0) \\ &+ \lim_{P_j \to P_i} \left(\sum_{a,b} \frac{1}{2} (\omega_a \omega_b)(z) \frac{\partial^2_{ab} \widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}} (P_i - P_j) \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_a \omega_a(z) [G(z, P_i)_{z \ll P_i} - G(z, P_j)_{z \ll P_j}] \frac{\partial_a \widetilde{\Theta}}{\widetilde{\Theta}} (P_i - P_j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the computations of Lemma 5.6 (with P_0 replaced by P_i) that the latter limit is equal to $\frac{\rho_i(z)}{(\psi(P_i)^2, v_i)}$. The Lemma follows. ☐

Prop. 5.3 now follows from (56) and Lemma 5.8. ☐

Remark 8. It is natural that when $i \neq j$, the logarithmic primitive of

$$G(z, P_i)_{z \ll P_i} G(z, P_j)_{z \ll P_j}$$

has degrees 1 in v_0 and zero in v_i, v_j, because $G(z, P_i) G(z, P_j)$ has expansions

$$G(z, P_i) G(z, P_j) = \frac{(dz_{P_0})^2}{(z_{P_0})^2} + O(1/z_{P_0}) \text{ near } z = P_0,$$

and

$$G(z, P_i) G(z, P_j) = O(1/z_{P_k}) \text{ near } z = P_k, k = i, j,$$

z_{P_k} being a local coordinate on X at each P_k. For the same reason, the logarithmic primitive of $G(z, P_i)^2_{z \ll P_i}$ has degree 1 both in v_0 and v_i. ☐

5.2. **Proof of Prop. 5.1.** Let us prove 1). Let us study the behavior of $Q \mapsto S'(m, P_0, Q|z)$. We have clearly $S'(m, P_0, \gamma_{A_a}(Q)|z) = S'(m, P_0, Q|z)$. Moreover, it follows from the identities

$$\frac{\partial_a \Theta}{\Theta}(gP_0 - \gamma_{A_c}(Q) - \Delta) = \frac{\partial_a \Theta}{\Theta}(gP_0 - Q - \Delta) + \delta_{ac}, \ G(z, \gamma_{B_c}(Q)) = G(z, Q) + \omega_c(z)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2_{ab}\Theta}{\Theta}(gP_0 - \gamma_{A_c}(Q) - \Delta) = \frac{\partial^2_{ab}\Theta}{\Theta}(gP_0 - Q - \Delta) + \delta_{ac}\frac{\partial_a \Theta}{\Theta}(gP_0 - Q - \Delta) + \delta_{bc}\frac{\partial_a \Theta}{\Theta}(gP_0 - Q - \Delta) + \delta_{ac}\delta_{bc}$$

that we have $S'(m, P_0, \gamma_{B_a}(Q)|z) = S'(m, P_0, Q|z)$.

The possible poles of $Q \mapsto S'(m, P_0, Q|z)$ are at $Q = z$ and $Q = P_0$. Let us study the pole at $Q = z$. Fix a local coordinate on X. Let us write

$$G(z, w) = \frac{dz}{z - w} + \alpha(z, w)dz, \quad \bar{G}(z, w) = \frac{dz}{z - w} + \bar{\alpha}(z, w)dz,$$

with

$$(\bar{\alpha} - \alpha)(z, w) = \sum_a \omega_a(z) r_a(w).$$

Then if $\omega(z) = f(z)dz$ is a one-form, we have

$$(\bar{D}\omega)(z) = [f'(z) - 2\bar{\alpha}(z, z)f(z)](dz)^2,$$

therefore

$$\bar{D}_z G(z, w) = [-\frac{1}{(z - w)^2} - 2\frac{\bar{\alpha}(z, z)}{z - w} + O(1)](dz)^2.$$

On the other hand, since

$$G(z, w)^2 = [\frac{1}{(z - w)^2} + 2\frac{\alpha(z, z)}{z - w} + O(1)](dz)^2,$$

we find that

$$\frac{1}{2}\bar{D}_z G(z, w) + \frac{1}{2}G(z, w)^2 + \sum_a r_a(w)\omega_a(z)G(z, w)$$

has no poles when w tends to z. It follows that $Q \mapsto S'(m, P_0, Q|z)$ has no pole at $Q = z$.

On the other hand, the function $Q \mapsto \Theta(gP_0 - Q - \Delta)$ has a zero of order g at P_0; the function $Q \mapsto G(z, Q)$ vanishes at P_i, therefore the pole of function $Q \mapsto S'(m, P_0, Q|z)$ at P_0 is of order $\leq g$. Since P_0 is not a Weierstrass point of X, this implies that $Q \mapsto S'(m, P_0, Q|z)$ is a constant function. This proves the first part of 1). The expansion of $S'(m, P_0|z)$ near P_0 follows from the expansions $G(z, Q) = -\frac{dz_{P_0}}{z_{P_0}} + O(1)$ and $\bar{D}(f(z_{P_0})dz_{P_0}) = [f'(z_{P_0}) + \{\frac{-2(g-1)}{z_{P_0}} + O(1)\}f(z_{P_0})](dz_{P_0})^2$ near P_0.

Let us prove 2). Consider the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ in the case $p = 1$, $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$ and set $\Lambda = \Lambda_1$. Set

$$\widetilde{g}(m_\infty, P_1, v_1|\lambda) = f(m_\infty, P_1, v_1|\lambda)\Theta(m| - 2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)(E(P_0, P_1), v_0 \otimes v_1)^{\frac{\Lambda(\Lambda+2)}{2\kappa}}$$
$$\Theta(m|gP_0 - P_i - \Delta)^{-\frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}}.$$

Then $f(m_\infty, P_1, v_1|\lambda)$ is a flat section of $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ iff $\widetilde{g}(m_\infty, P_1, v_1|\lambda)$ obeys the equation

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\widetilde{g}(m_\infty, P_1, v_1|\lambda) = \Bigg[\frac{1}{8i\pi\kappa}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b} + \sum_a \langle\xi(z), \frac{1}{2\kappa}(\bar{D}\omega_a)(z) - \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}\omega_a(z)G(z, P_1)\rangle_z\partial_{\lambda_a} \tag{57}$$
$$+\langle\xi(z), \frac{k}{2\kappa}\bar{S}(m_\infty, P_0|z) - \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}S'(m_\infty, P_0|z)\rangle_z\Bigg]\widetilde{g}(m_\infty, P_1, v_1|\lambda).$$

Let us set now

$$\widetilde{h}(m_\infty, P_1, v_1|\lambda') = g(m_\infty, P_1, v_1|\frac{1}{\kappa}[\lambda' + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta + \Lambda(P_1 - P_0)])\alpha(m, P_0, v_0)^{-\frac{k}{2\kappa}}.$$

Then (57) is equivalent to

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\widetilde{h}(m_\infty, P_1, v_1|\lambda') = \Bigg[\frac{1}{8i\pi\kappa}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda'_a\lambda'_b} - \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}\langle\xi, S'(m_\infty, P_0|z)\rangle_z\Bigg]\widetilde{h}(m_\infty, P_1, v_1|\lambda'). \tag{58}$$

Consider the connection over the trivial bundle $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$, with fibre $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda']]$, defined by

$$\nabla^{aux''} = \partial_\xi - \Bigg[\frac{1}{8i\pi\kappa}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda'_a\lambda'_b} - \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}\langle\xi, S'(m_\infty, P_0|z)\rangle_z\Bigg].$$

This connection is flat. Therefore, the connection over the trivial bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^2}$ with fiber $\mathbb{C}$, defined by

$$\nabla^{aux'''} = \partial_\xi + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}\langle\xi, S'(m_\infty, P_0|z)\rangle_z,$$

is also flat. The local existence of $\beta(m, P_0, v_0)$ follows. (44) implies that $\beta(m, P_0, v_0)$ is homogeneous in v_0 of degree $-g$.

(46) follows then from adding up (45) and

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\ln\{\frac{1}{\Theta(gP_0 - P_i - \Delta)}(E(P_0, P_i), v_0 \otimes v_i)\} = \langle\xi, -\sum_{a,b}\frac{1}{2}(\omega_a\omega_b)(z)\frac{\partial^2_{ab}\Theta}{\Theta}(gP_0 - P_i - \Delta)$$
$$+\sum_a\frac{\partial_a\Theta}{\Theta}(gP_0 - P_i - \Delta)[\frac{1}{2}\bar{D}\omega_a(z) + \omega_a(z)G(z, P_i)]\rangle.$$

□

5.3. **Proof of Thm. 5.1.** Let us set

$$f'(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) = f(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) \prod_{i<j} \left(\frac{E(P_i, P_j)}{E(P_0, P_i)E(P_0, P_j)}, v_0 \right)^{-\frac{\Lambda_i \Lambda_j}{2\kappa}}$$

$$\prod_i (E(P_0, P_i), v_0 \otimes v_i)^{\frac{\Lambda_i^2}{2\kappa}} \prod_i \{ \frac{1}{\Theta(gP_0 - P_i - \Delta)} (E(P_0, P_i), v_0 \otimes v_i)(\beta(m, P_0), v_0)\}^{\frac{\Lambda_i}{\kappa}}.$$

Then it follows from Props. 5.2, 5.3 and (46) that (41) is equivalent to

$$2\kappa \partial_{[\xi]} f'(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) = \Bigg[\frac{1}{2} [\sum_a \omega_a(z) \partial_{\lambda_a}]^2 - \sum_{a,i} \Lambda_i \omega_a(z) G(z, P_i) \partial_{\lambda_a} \tag{59}$$

$$+ \sum_a (D^{(2\lambda)} \omega_a)(z) \partial_{\lambda_a} - \sum_i \Lambda_i (D_z^{(2\lambda)} - \bar{D}_z) G(z, P_i) + k \bar{\omega}_{2\lambda}(z) \Bigg] f'(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda).$$

Let us set now

$$g(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) = \Theta(m| - 2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta) f'(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda),$$

then (59) is equivalent to

$$\partial_{[\xi]} g(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) = \frac{1}{8i\pi\kappa} \sum_{a,b} \delta\tau_{ab} \partial^2_{\lambda_a \lambda_b} g(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_a \langle \bar{D}\omega_a, \xi \rangle \partial_{\lambda_a} g(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) \tag{60}$$

$$+ \frac{k}{2\kappa} \langle \xi(z), \bar{S}(m_\infty, P_0|z) \rangle_z g(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda)$$

$$- \sum_{a,i} \frac{\Lambda_i}{\kappa} \langle \xi(z), \omega_a(z) G(z, P_i) \rangle_z \frac{\partial_{\lambda_a} (g(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) \Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)^{-1})}{\Theta(-2\lambda + (g-1)P_0 - \Delta)^{-1}}$$

$$- \sum_i \frac{\Lambda_i}{\kappa} \langle \xi(z), (D_z^{(2\lambda)} - \bar{D}_z) G(z, P_i) \rangle_z g(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda).$$

It follows from Prop. 2.2, 2) that this equation is equivalent to

$$\partial_{[\xi]} g(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) = \tag{61}$$

$$\Bigg[\frac{1}{8i\pi\kappa} \sum_{a,b} \delta\tau_{ab} \partial^2_{\lambda_a \lambda_b} + \sum_a \langle \xi(z), \frac{1}{2\kappa} (\bar{D}\omega_a)(z) - \sum_i \frac{\Lambda_i}{\kappa} \omega_a(z) G(z, P_i) \rangle_z \partial_{\lambda_a}$$

$$+ \frac{k}{2\kappa} \langle \xi(z), \bar{S}(m_\infty, P_0|z) \rangle_z \Bigg] g(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda).$$

Let us set

$$h(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda') = g(m_\infty, P_i, v_i| \frac{1}{\kappa} [\lambda' + (g-1)P_0 + \Delta + \sum_i \Lambda_i (P_i - P_0)]).$$

Then it follows from Lemmas 4.3 and 5.1 that (61) is equivalent to

$$\partial_{[\xi]} h(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) = \left[\frac{\kappa}{8i\pi} \sum_{a,b} \delta\tau_{ab} \partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b} + \frac{k}{2\kappa} \langle \xi(z), \bar{S}(m_\infty, P_0|z) \rangle_z \right] h(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda). \tag{62}$$

Let us set now

$$\widetilde{h}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda') = h(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda') \alpha(m, P_0, v_0)^{-\frac{k}{2\kappa}},$$

then (62) is equivalent to

$$\partial_{[\xi]} h'(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda) = \frac{\kappa}{8i\pi} \sum_{a,b} \delta\tau_{ab} \partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b} h'(m_\infty, P_i, v_i|\lambda).$$

Thm. 5.1 follows. □

6. FLAT SECTIONS OF $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ IN THE GENERAL CASE

6.1. **Integral formulas for flat sections of $\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$.** Let $\mathbb{V}$ be an arbitrary highest weight module of level k over $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $(\Lambda_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ be weights of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and let p be an integer ≥ 0. Let $(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j, w_j) \mapsto \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j, w_j)$ be a flat section of the connection $(\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i),-2,\dots,-2}, \nabla^{\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i),-2,\dots,-2}})$ (-2 repeated p times) over $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,(n+p)\cdot 1^2}$. Recall that the fiber of $\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i),-2,\dots,-2}$ at $(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j, w_j)$ is given by

$$[(\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i}) \otimes V_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p})^*]^{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)},$$

where the action of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$ is dual to $\pi_{\mathbb{V}} \otimes (\otimes_i \pi^{(P_i)}_{V_{-\Lambda_i}}) \otimes (\otimes_j \pi^{(t_j)}_{V_{2\varpi}})$. Here ϖ denote the fundamental weight of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Since the Casimir element of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts by zero on $V_{2\varpi}$, $\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j, w_j)$ is actually independent on the tangent vector w_j at t_j. We therefore denote it simply $\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j)$. We have

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j) = \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j) \circ T_{\bar{\omega}}[\xi]^{(0)}. \tag{63}$$

If ρ is a rational function on X, regular at points P_i, we will identify it with its image in $\mathbb{C}((t)) \oplus (\oplus_i \mathcal{O}_i)$. In particular, for t a point of $X - \{P_0, P_i\}$, $z \mapsto \bar{G}(t,z)$ is a rational function in z, regular on $X - \{P_0, t\}$, which we will denote $\bar{G}(t,\cdot)$, and identify with its image in $\mathbb{C}((t)) \oplus (\oplus_i \mathcal{O}_i)$. Therefore $f[\bar{G}(t,\cdot)]$ belongs to $\mathfrak{g}_{reg\ at\ P_i}$ (see sect. 3.2).

For $(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2,p}$ and u in $\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_{i=1}^n V_{-\Lambda_i})$, let us set

$$\langle \widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), u\rangle = \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \left(\prod_{j=1}^p \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])u\right) \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle.$$

$\widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j)$ is a function on $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2,p}$, with values in $(\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_{i=1}^n V_{-\Lambda_i}))^*$.

Proposition 6.1. *1) There exists a function $\nu(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2,p}$ with values in $[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R) \otimes \mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})]^*$, such that we have for x in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$ and u in $\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})$,*

$$\langle \widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(x)(u)\rangle = d_t(\langle \nu(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), x \otimes u\rangle), \tag{64}$$

where d_t denotes the partial differential with respect to variables t_j. Moreover, we have

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j) = \widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j) \circ T_{\bar{\omega}}[\xi]^{(0)} \tag{65}$$

for ξ in $\mathbb{C}((t))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$.

2) Assume that for (m_∞, P_i) fixed, the function defined by $(t_i) \mapsto \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), u\rangle$ extends to the complement of diagonals of $(X - \{P_0, P_i\})^p$ to a function with monodromy properties dependent on (m_∞, P_i), in other words, to the section of a bundle $\mathcal{L}(m_\infty, P_i)$. Then if C belongs to $H_p((X - \{P_0, P_i\})^p - \mathrm{diagonals}, \mathcal{L}(m_\infty, P_i))$, $(m_\infty, P_i, v_i) \mapsto \int_C \widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j)$ is a flat section of the connection $(\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \nabla^{\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}})$.

Proof. Let us prove (64). Define $\nu(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j)$ as follows: for x in $(\mathbb{C}f \oplus \mathbb{C}h) \otimes R$, $\langle \nu(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), x \otimes u\rangle = 0$; for $x = e[r], r \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \nu(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), x \otimes u\rangle \\ &= -\kappa \sum_{j=1}^{p} r(t_j) \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j' \neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_j V_{-\Lambda_j})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have, for $x = f[r]$, $r \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(x)(u)\rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)]) \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[r])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[r]) \prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle\end{aligned}$$

because $f[r]$ commutes with all $f[\varrho]$, ϱ in $\mathbb{C}((t)) \oplus (\oplus_i \mathcal{O}_{P_i})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[r]) \prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p} \\ &= -\sum_j \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j'} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes \pi_{V_{2\varpi}}(f[r])^{(j)} v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\end{aligned}$$

by the $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$-invariance of $\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j)$. Since we have $f[t^j]v_{2\varpi} = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$, each term is the right side of this equality vanishes. Therefore

$$\langle \widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(x)(u)\rangle$$

is zero. Therefore (64) is satisfied for $x = f[r]$.

For $x = h[r]$, $r \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(x)(u)\rangle \qquad (66)\\ &= \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)]) \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r]) \prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle \\ &+ 2 \sum_j \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[r\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)]) \prod_{j' \neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j)$ is $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$-invariant,

$$\langle\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r])\prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle \tag{67}$$

$$= -\sum_{j'} r(t_{j'})\langle\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r])\prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])(u)\} \otimes h^{(j')} v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$

$$= -2\sum_{j'} r(t_{j'})\langle\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r])\prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$

because $h v_{2\varpi} = 2 v_{2\varpi}$.

On the other hand, we have for any u' in $\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})$ and t in $X - \{P_0, P_i\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\psi(p_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_j V_{-\Lambda_j})}(f[r\bar{G}(t,\cdot)])u'\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle \\ &= r(t)\langle\psi(p_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_j V_{-\Lambda_j})}(f[\bar{G}(t,\cdot)])u'\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle;\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

indeed, the difference of both sides of (68) is

$$\langle\psi(p_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_j V_{-\Lambda_j})}(f[(r - r(t))\bar{G}(t,\cdot)])u'\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle;$$

since $(r - r(t))\bar{G}(t,\cdot)$ belongs to R, this difference is equal to

$$-\sum_{j'}[(r - r(t))\bar{G}(t,\cdot)](t_{j'})\langle\psi(p_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), u' \otimes f^{(j')} v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle,$$

which is zero because $f v_{2\varpi} = 0$. (67) and (68) imply that the right side of (66) vanishes, therefore

$$\widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(x)(u)\rangle = 0$$

for $x = h[r]$. So (64) is satisfied for $x = h[r]$.

Let us assume that $x = e[r]$. We have

$$\langle\widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(x)(u)\rangle \tag{69}$$

$$= \langle\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(e[r])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$

$$= \langle\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(e[r])\prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$

$$-\sum_j\langle\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j'<j}\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'},\cdot)])[\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)]) + k\,dr(t_j)]$$

$$\prod_{j'>j}\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'},\cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle.$$

The first term of the right side of (69) is equal to

$$-\sum_j r(t_j)\langle\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_j \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])(u)\} \otimes e^{(j)} v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{p}\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),\{\prod_{j'<j}\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar G(t_{j'},\cdot)])\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r\bar G(t_j,\cdot)]) \\
&\prod_{j'>j}\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar G(t_{j'},\cdot)])(u)\}\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle \\
&=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),\{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r\bar G(t_j,\cdot)])\prod_{j'\neq j}\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar G(t_{j'},\cdot)])(u)\}\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle \\
&+2\sum_{j'<j}\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),\{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[r\bar G(t_j,\cdot)\bar G(t_{j'},\cdot)]) \\
&\prod_{j''\neq j,j'}\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar G(t_{j''},\cdot)])(u)\}\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{p}r(t_j)\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),\{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[\bar G(t_j,\cdot)])\prod_{j'\neq j}\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar G(t_{j'},\cdot)])(u)\}\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle \\
&-2\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(\sum_{j'=1}^{p}[r(t_{j'})-r(t_j)]\bar G(t_j,t_{j'})\right) \\
&\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),\{\prod_{j''\neq j}\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar G(t_{j''},\cdot)])(u)\}\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle \\
&+2\sum_{j'\neq j}r(t_j)\bar G(t_{j'},t_j)\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),\{\prod_{j''\neq j'}\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar G(t_{j''},\cdot)])(u)\}\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

by the identities $r\bar G(t_j,\cdot)\in r(t_j)\bar G(t_j,\cdot)+R$, $r\bar G(t_j,\cdot)\bar G(t_{j'},\cdot)\in r(t_{j'})\bar G(t_j,t_{j'})\bar G(t_{j'},\cdot)+r(t_j)\bar G(t_{j'},t_j)\bar G(t_j,\cdot)+R$, and invariance of $\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j)$. Here for $j'=j$, we have $[r(t_{j'}) - r(t_j)]\bar{G}(t_j, t_{j'}) = -dr(t_j)$. Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j'<j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)])$$
$$\prod_{j'>j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{p} r(t_j)\langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)]) \prod_{j'\neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$
$$+ 2\sum_{j=1}^{p} dr(t_j)\langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j'\neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$
$$+ 2\sum_{j'\neq j} r(t_j)\bar{G}(t_j, t_{j'})\langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j''\neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j''}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$

It follows that we have

$$\langle \widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(x)(u)\rangle$$
$$= -(k+2)\sum_{j} dr(t_j)\langle \psi(P_i, v_i, t_j), \prod_{j'\neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$
$$- \sum_{j} r(t_j)\langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes e^{(j)} v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$
$$- \sum_{j=1}^{p} r(t_j)\langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)])$$
$$\prod_{j'\neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$
$$- 2\sum_{j'\neq j} r(t_j)\bar{G}(t_j, t_{j'})\langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j''\neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j''}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle.$$

To prove (64), it suffices therefore to show that for any j, we have

$$- \kappa d_{t_j}\left(\langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j'\neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_j V_{-\Lambda_j})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle\right) \tag{70}$$
$$= -\langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes e^{(j)} v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$
$$- \langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)]) \prod_{j'\neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j'}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$
$$- 2\sum_{j'\neq j} \bar{G}(t_j, t_{j'})\langle \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j), \{\prod_{j''\neq j} \pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_{j''}, \cdot)])(u)\} \otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle.$$

Recall that ψ satisfies (63). Let in this equation, ξ be a vector field on X, regular outside P_0 and vanishing to second order at the P_i and $t_{j'}, j' \neq j$. Let (r''^i) and (ω''^i) be bases of $R_{(P_s, t_{j'}, j' \neq j)}$ and $\Omega_{(P_s, t_{j'}, j' \neq j)}$, and let (r''_i) and (ω''_i) be such that (r''^i, r''_i) and (ω''_i, ω''^i) are dual bases of $\mathbb{C}((t))$ and $\mathbb{C}((t))dt$ (see the proof of Lemma 1.4). Let us set $T'[\xi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_\alpha (x^\alpha[\xi\omega''^i] x^\alpha[r''_i] + x^\alpha[r''^i] x^\alpha[\xi\omega''_i])$. Since ξ is regular outside P_0, $T'[\xi] = T_{\bar\omega}[\xi]$ (see the proof of Lemma 1.4). Then the invariance of $\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j)$ implies that

$$\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j) \circ T'[\xi]^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_{i,s,\alpha} \psi \circ x^\alpha[r''_i]^{(0)} x^\alpha[\xi\omega''^i]^{(P_s)} + \psi \circ x^\alpha[\xi\omega''_i]^{(0)} x^\alpha[r''^i]^{(P_s)}$$
$$- \frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_{i,s',\alpha} \psi \circ x^\alpha[r''_i]^{(0)} x^\alpha[\xi\omega''^i]^{(t_{s'})} + \psi \circ x^\alpha[\xi\omega''_i]^{(0)} x^\alpha[r''^i]^{(t_{s'})},$$

where we set $\psi = \psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j)$. All contributions associated to the P_s or to the $t_{j'}, j' \neq j$, are zero. Therefore

$$\psi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_j) \circ T'[\xi]^{(0)}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_{i,\alpha} (\xi\omega''^i)(t_j) \psi \circ x^\alpha[r''_i]^{(0)} (x^\alpha)^{(t_j)} + r''^i(t_j) \psi \circ x^\alpha[\xi\omega''_i]^{(0)} (x^\alpha)^(t_j).$$

Let us set $\Gamma(z,w)_{w \ll z} = \sum_i \omega''^i(z) r''_i(w)$. $\Gamma(z,w)_{w \ll z}$ is the expansion of a rational form $\Gamma(z,w)$. The function $\xi(t_j)\Gamma(t_j, \cdot) - \xi(\cdot)\Gamma(\cdot, P_j)$ vanishes at all P_i and $t_{j'}, j' \neq j$. It follows that

$$-\frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_{i,\alpha} (\xi\omega''^i)(t_j) \psi \circ x^\alpha[r''_i]^{(0)} (x^\alpha)^{(t_j)} + r''^i(t_j) \psi \circ x^\alpha[\xi\omega''_i]^{(0)} (x^\alpha)^(t_j)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_\alpha \psi \circ \pi_{\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i}) \otimes (\otimes_{j' \neq j} V_{2\varpi})} (x^\alpha[\xi(t_j)\Gamma(t_j, \cdot) - \xi(\cdot)\Gamma(\cdot, P_j)]) (x^\alpha)^{(t_j)}.$$

Now we have

$$2\xi(t_j)\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot) - \{\xi(t_j)\Gamma(t_j, \cdot) - \xi(\cdot)\Gamma(\cdot, P_j)\} \in R;$$

let us denote by $\dot\delta$ the value at t_j of this difference. It follows that

$$-\frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_\alpha \psi \circ \pi_{\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i}) \otimes (\otimes_{j' \neq j} V_{2\varpi})} \{(x^\alpha[\xi(t_j)\Gamma(t_j, \cdot) - \xi(\cdot)\Gamma(\cdot, P_j)]) (x^\alpha)^{(t_j)}\}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_\alpha \psi \circ \pi_{\mathbb{V} \otimes (\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i}) \otimes (\otimes_{j' \neq j} V_{2\varpi})} \{(x^\alpha[2\xi(t_j)\bar{G}(t_j, \cdot)]) (x^\alpha)^{(t_j)}\} - \frac{1}{2\kappa} \dot\delta \psi \circ \sum_\alpha \{(x^{(\alpha)})^{(t_j)}\}^2.$$

Since the Casimir element of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ vanishes on $V_{2\varpi}$, this implies that that for any u' in $\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\kappa d_{t_j}\left(\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),u'\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle\right)\\
&=-\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),\{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])(u')\}\otimes e^{(j)}v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle\\
&-\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),\{\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])(u')\}\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle\\
&-2\sum_{j'\neq j}\bar{G}(t_j,t_{j'})\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),u'\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

(70) follows. As we have seen, this implies (64) in the case $x=e[r]$ and therefore ends the proof of (64).

Let us now prove (65). Since $\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)$ is a holomorphic differential in t_j, $(t_j)_j\mapsto\langle\widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),u\rangle$ is a section of $H^0((X_{\{}P_0,P_i\})^p-\text{diagonals},\Omega^{top}\otimes\mathcal{L}(m_\infty,P_0,P_i))$, so that $\int_C\widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j)$ makes sense. We have for u in $\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})$ and $\epsilon^2=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon\left(\langle\partial_{[\xi]}\widetilde{\psi}-\widetilde{\psi}\circ T_{\bar{\omega}}[\xi]^{(0)},u\rangle\right)\\
&=\langle\psi[(1+\epsilon\xi)R\subset\mathbb{C}((t)),\chi_{P_i}\circ(1-\epsilon\xi),\chi_{t_j}\circ(1-\epsilon\xi)],\\
&\prod_i[\pi_{\mathbb{V}}\otimes(\otimes_i\pi_{V_{-\Lambda_i}}\circ(1-\epsilon\xi))](f[(1+\epsilon\xi)\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])(u\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p})\rangle\\
&-\langle\psi[R\subset\mathbb{C}((t)),\chi_{P_i},\chi_{t_j}],\prod_i[\pi_{\mathbb{V}}\otimes(\otimes_i\pi_{V_{-\Lambda_i}})](f[\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)])((1+\epsilon T_{\bar{\omega}}[\xi]^{(0)})(u)\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p})\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

because $(1+\epsilon\xi)\bar{G}(t_j,\cdot)$ is a function on $\mathrm{Spec}((1+\epsilon\xi)(R))$ with a simple pole at the image of t_j. (4) implies that this is equal to zero.

Let us prove 2). The formula for $\nu(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j)$ shows that for x and u fixed, $\langle\nu(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j),x\otimes u\rangle$ is a section of $\mathcal{L}(m_\infty,P_i)$, so that $\int_C\widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j)$ is $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(R)$-invariant. It follows then from (64) that it is a flat section of $\nabla^{\mathcal{B}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}}$. ☐

Proposition 6.2. *Assume that* $\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j|\lambda)$ *is a flat section of* $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i),-2,\dots,-2}$, $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\ell,(\Lambda_i),-2,\dots,-2})$ *(-2 repeated p times); this means that it is a* $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^{out}$*-invariant form on* $\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})\otimes V_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}$, *defined on an open subset of* $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2,p\cdot 1}\times\mathbb{C}^g$, *and is a solution of (18), (19). Assume also that for* (m_∞,P_i) *fixed, there exists a bundle* $\mathcal{L}(m_\infty,P_i)$ *over* $(X-\{P_0,P_i\})^p-$diagonals *such that* $(t_j)\mapsto\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j|\lambda),\widetilde{u}\rangle$ *is a section of* $\mathcal{L}(m_\infty,P_i)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{-2\lambda}^{\boxtimes p}$, *for any* $\widetilde{u}$ *in* $\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})\otimes V_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}$. *Let us define* $\widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j|\lambda)$ *by*

$$\langle\widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j|\lambda),u\rangle=\langle\psi(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j|\lambda),\left(\prod_{j=1}^p\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(f[G_{2\lambda}(t_i,\cdot)])u\right)\otimes v_{2\varpi}^{\otimes p}\rangle$$

for u *in* $\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})$

Then if C *belongs to* $H_p((X-\{P_0,P_i\})^p - \text{diagonals}, \mathcal{L}(m_\infty,P_i))$, *set*

$$I_C(m_\infty,P_i,v_i|\lambda)=\int_C \widetilde{\psi}(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_j|\lambda).$$

Then $I_C(m_\infty,P_i,v_i|\lambda)$ *is a* $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^{out}$*-invariant form on* $\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})$*, defined on an open subset of* $\mathcal{M}_{g,1^\infty,n\cdot 1^2}\times\mathbb{C}^g$*, satisfying equations (18) and (19), that is a flat section of* $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)},\widetilde{\nabla}^{\ell,(\Lambda_i)})$.

The proof is similar to that of Prop. 6.1.

Remark 9. It seems that the generalization of Props. 6.1 and 6.2 to a general Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ requires using the Wakimoto modules, see [12].

Remark 10. In the elliptic case $g=1$, the cycles C are constructed in [14]. In that case, φ satisfies theta-like conditions around the a- and b-cycle. The cycles C consist of points running on 8-shaped contours and correspond to singular vectors in products of Verma and adjoint modules for the quantum group $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$, $q=e^{\frac{2i\pi}{\kappa}}$.

6.2. **Flat sections of** $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$.

6.2.1. *The operators* $\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}(w_1,\dots,w_p|z_1,\dots,z_p)$. For z in X, define $\widetilde{h}(z)$ as the operator acting on functions defined on $\mathbb{C}^g$ by

$$(\widetilde{h}(z)\Psi)(\lambda)=\sum_{a=1}^{g}\omega_a(z)\partial_{\lambda_a}\Psi(\lambda).$$

If $(w_i)_{i=1,\dots,p}$ and $(z_i)_{i=1,\dots,p}$ are $2p$ points of X, and $(\Lambda_i)_{i=1,\dots,q}$ are complex numbers, define inductively $\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}(w_1,\dots,w_p|z_1,\dots,z_p)$ as the following operator acting on functions defined on $\mathbb{C}^g$: for $p=0$, $\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}=id$, and

$$\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}(w_1,\dots,w_p|z_1,\dots,z_p)=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\{-G_{2\lambda}(w_1,z_i)_{z_i\ll w_1}[\widetilde{h}(z_i)-\sum_{j=1}^{q}\Lambda_j G(z_i,P_j)_{P_j\ll z_i}]$$
$$+\,k d_{z_i}G_{2\lambda}(w_1,z_i)_{z_i\ll w_1}\}\circ\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}(w_2,\dots,w_p|z_1,\dots,\check{z}_i,\dots,z_p)$$

One can show by induction on p that the operator $\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}(w_1,\dots,w_p|z_1,\dots,z_p)$ is symmetric in both groups of variables $(z_j)_{j=1,\dots,p}$ and $(w_j)_{j=1,\dots,p}$. The operators $\widetilde{h}(z)$ and $\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}(w_1,\dots,w_p|z_1,\dots,z_p)$ enjoy the following properties.

Lemma 6.1. *Let* ψ_λ *be a family of* $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^{out}$*-invariant forms on* $\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})$*, such that*

$$\partial_{\lambda_a}\psi_\lambda=\psi_\lambda\circ\pi_{\mathbb{V}\otimes(\otimes_i V_{-\Lambda_i})}(h[r_a]).$$

Then

$$\langle\psi_\lambda,\pi_{\mathbb{V}}(h(z))(v\otimes(\otimes_i v_{-\Lambda_i}))\rangle=\left(\widetilde{h}(z)-\sum_{i=1}^{q}\Lambda_i G(z,P_i)_{P_i\ll z}\right)(\langle\psi_\lambda,v\otimes(\otimes_i v_{\Lambda_i})\rangle).$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\psi_\lambda, \pi_{\mathbb{V}}(\prod_{j=1}^p f(w_j)\prod_{j=1}^p e(z_j))(v\otimes(\otimes_i v_{-\Lambda_i}))\rangle\\ &= \Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}(w_1,\dots,w_p|z_1,\dots,z_p)(\langle\psi_\lambda, v\otimes(\otimes_i v_{-\Lambda_i})\rangle).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [10]. □

If $g(w_1,\dots,w_p|\lambda)$ is an analytic function of an open subset of $X^p\times\mathbb{C}^g$, define $\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}(w_1,\dots,w_p|z_1,\dots,z_p)_{an}g(w_1,\dots,w_p|\lambda)$ the same way as $\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}$ is defined, replacing the $G_{2\lambda}(z,w)_{z\ll w}$ by their meromorphic prolongations.

Proposition 6.3. *Let us assume that in Prop. 6.2,* $p=N=\frac{1}{2}[k\ell+\sum_i\Lambda_i]$*. Let* $(I_C\psi)(m_\infty,P_i,v_i|\lambda)$ *be the flat section of* $\mathcal{B}^{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ *constructed in that Proposition. Its image by the map* corr *of sect. 3.3 is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}&[\mathrm{corr}(I_C\psi)](m_\infty,P_i,v_i)(z_1,\dots,z_N|\lambda)= \\ &\int_C\Phi_{\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n,-2,\dots,-2}(t_1\dots,t_N|z_1,\dots,z_N)_{an}\{\mathrm{corr}(\psi)(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_1,\dots,t_N|\lambda)\},\end{aligned}\tag{71}$$

where $\mathrm{corr}(\psi)(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_1,\dots,t_N|\lambda)$ *is expressed by the right side of (47), with* $(P_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ *and* $(\Lambda_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ *replaced by* $(P_1,\dots,P_n,t_1,\dots,t_N)$ *and* $(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n,-2,\dots,-2)$ *(−2 repeated N times).*

Proof. For $\rho_1,\dots,\rho_p$ in $\mathbb{C}((t))$, we will set

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}[\rho_1,\dots,\rho_p](z_1,\dots,z_p)\\ &=\mathrm{res}_{w_1=P_0}\cdots\mathrm{res}_{w_p=P_0}[\Phi_{(\Lambda_i)}(w_1,\dots,w_p|z_1,\dots,z_p)\rho_1(w_1)\cdots\rho_p(w_p)].\end{aligned}$$

The second identity of Lemma 6.1 then implies that the image of $(I_C\psi)(m_\infty,P_i,v_i|\lambda)$ by the map corr of sect. 3.3 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&[\mathrm{corr}(I_C\psi)](m_\infty,P_i,v_i)(z_1,\dots,z_N|\lambda)=\\ &\int_C\Phi_{\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n,-2,\dots,-2}[G_{2\lambda}(t_1,\cdot),\dots,G_{2\lambda}(t_N,\cdot)](z_1,\dots,z_N)\\ &\quad\{\mathrm{corr}(\psi)(m_\infty,P_i,v_i,t_1,\dots,t_N|\lambda)\}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows then from

$$\int_{t'\text{ around }P_0,z}G_{2\lambda}(t',z)G_{2\lambda}(t,t')=G_{2\lambda}(t,z)$$

that this expression is equal to (71). □

Theorem 6.1. *For any* $\varphi(m|\lambda)$ *satisfying the heat equation*

$$\partial_{[\xi]}\varphi(m|\lambda)=\frac{\kappa}{8i\pi}\sum_{a,b}\delta\tau_{ab}\partial^2_{\lambda_a\lambda_b}\varphi(m|\lambda)$$

(we indicate at the end of Thm. 4.1 how such functions φ *may be naturally obtained), define* $f_\varphi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_1, \dots, t_N|\lambda)$ *as the right side of (47), with* $(P_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ *and* $(\Lambda_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ *replaced by* $(P_1, \dots, P_n, -2, \dots, -2)$ *and* $(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, -2, \dots, -2)$ *(-2 repeated* N *times).*

For any cycle C *in* $H_p((X - \{P_0, P_i\})^p - \text{diagonals}, \mathcal{L}(m_\infty, P_i))$*, set*

$$f_{C,\varphi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i)(z_1, \dots, z_N|\lambda) =$$
$$\int_C \Phi_{\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n,-2,\dots,-2}(t_1, \dots, t_N|z_1, \dots, z_N)_{an}\{f_\varphi(m_\infty, P_i, v_i, t_1, \dots, t_N|\lambda)\}.$$

Then $(m_\infty, P_i, v_i) \mapsto f_{C,\varphi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i)$ *defines a flat section of* $(\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}})$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{1-g}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ equal to

$$\mathfrak{g}_{1-g} = \big(\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]]\big) \oplus \big(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_- \otimes t^{1-g}\mathbb{C}[[t]]\big) \oplus \mathbb{C}K.$$

Let χ be the character of $\mathfrak{g}_{1-g}$ defined by $\chi(K) = k, \chi(f[t^i]) = 0, \chi(h[t^i]) = -\ell\delta_{i0}$. Let $\mathbb{W}$ be the induced $\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathbb{W} = U\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{U\mathfrak{g}_{1-g}} \mathbb{C}_\chi$.

Assume that λ is generic. In [10], we identified the functional connection $(\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}})$ with the version of the connection $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}, \widetilde{\nabla}^{\ell,(\Lambda_i)})$ in which $\mathbb{V}$ is replaced by $\mathbb{W}$.

It is clear that Prop. 6.1 holds when $\mathbb{V}$ is replaced by $\mathbb{W}$. This implies the Theorem. □

Remark 11. One can define operators $\Phi_{\Lambda_i,p}(z_1, \dots, z_q|w_1, \dots, w_q)$ generalizing the $\Phi_{\Lambda_i}(z_1, \dots, z_p|w_1, \dots, w_p)$, such that

$$\langle \psi_\lambda, \pi_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^q f(w_j) \prod_{j=1}^p e(z_j) \right) [v \otimes (\otimes_i v_{-\Lambda_i})] \rangle$$
$$= \Phi_{\Lambda_i,p}(z_1, \dots, z_q|w_1, \dots, w_q)\{\langle \psi_\lambda, \pi_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\prod_{j=q+1}^p e(z_j) \right) [v \otimes (\otimes_i v_{-\Lambda_i})] \rangle\}$$

Using these operators, it is then easy to generalize Prop. 6.3 to the case $p \neq N$. This also provides integral formulas, expressing flat sections of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i)}$ in terms of flat sections of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell,(\Lambda_i),-2,\dots,-2}$ (-2 repeated p times). However, it is not clear that the family of flat sections obtained in this way from the flat sections with $N = 0$ is more general than that of Thm. 6.1.

Remark 12. *Integrability conditions.* As we explained in Remark 6, it should be possible, by imposing functional conditions on $f_{C,\varphi}(m_\infty, P_i, v_i)(z_1, \dots, z_N|\lambda)$, to find the conditions on φ and C for this function to be a correlation function of conformal blocks. One probably finds this way that φ is a theta-function, satisfying some vanishing conditions.

6.2.2. *Explicit formula in the case $g = 2, k = 1, N = 1$.* In this simple case, we find the formula

$$f(m, P_0, v_0)(z|\lambda) = \alpha(m, P_0, v_0)^{1/6}(\beta(m, P_0), v_0)^{-1} \cdot$$
$$\cdot \int_{t\in C} [-G_{2\lambda}(t, z)(\sum_a \omega_a(z)\partial_{\lambda_a}) + d_z G_{2\lambda}(t, z)]\{\varphi(m|3\lambda + \Delta + P_0 - 2t)\Theta(m| - 2\lambda + P_0 - \Delta)^{-1}$$
$$\Theta(m|2P_0 - t - \Delta)^{2/3}\},$$

where φ is a solution of (33).

References

[1] E. Arbarello, C. de Concini, V. Kac, C. Procesi, Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory, Commun. Math. Phys. 117:1, 1-36 (1988).

[2] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. Griffiths, J. Harris, Geometry of algebraic curves, I, Grundl. math. Wiss. 267 (1985), Springer-Verlag.

[3] A. Beilinson, V. Schechtman, Determinant bundles and Virasoro algebra, Commun. Math. Phys. 118:4, 651-701 (1988).

[4] A. Beilinson, B. Feigin, B. Mazur, Algebraic field theory, preprint.

[5] D. Bernard, On the WZW model for Riemann surfaces, Nucl. Phys. B 309 (1988), 145-74.

[6] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, V. Schechtman, Factorizable sheaves and quantum groups, q-alg/9712001.

[7] I. Cherednik, Integral solutions of trigonometric Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and Kac-Moody algebras, Publ. RIMS 27:5 (1991), 727-44.

[8] M. Crivelli, G. Felder, C. Wieczerkowski, Topological representations of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ on the torus and the mapping class group, Lett. Math. Phys. 30:1 (1994), 71-85.

[9] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, $c = 1$ conformal field theories on Riemann surfaces, Commun. Math. Phys. 115 (1988), 649-69.

[10] B. Enriquez, G. Felder, Commuting differential and difference operators associated to complex curves, I, math.QA/9807145

[11] J. Fay, Theta functions on Riemann surfaces, Lect. Notes in Math. 352, Springer (1973).

[12] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, Gaudin model, Bethe ansatz and critical level, Commun. Math. Phys. 166:1 (1994), 27-62.

[13] B. Feigin, A. Stoyanovsky, A realization of the modular functor in the space of differentials and geometric approximation of the moduli space of G-bundles, Funct. An. Appl. 28:1 (1994), 68-90.

[14] G. Felder, R. Silvotti, Conformal blocks of minimal models on a Riemann surface, Commun. Math. Phys. 144:1 (1992), 17-40.

[15] G. Felder, C. Wieczerkowski, Conformal blocks on elliptic curves and the KZB equations, Commun. Math. Phys. 176:1 (1996), 133-61.

[16] G. Felder, A. Varchenko, Integral representations of solutions of the elliptic KZB equations, Int. Math. Res. Not. 5 (1995), 221-33.

[17] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, Principles of algebraic geometry, J. Wiley and sons.

[18] J. Harer, The second homology group of the mapping class groups of an orientable surface, Invent. Math. 72 (1983), 221-39.
[19] N. Kawamoto, Yu. Namikawa, A. Tsuchiya and Y. Yamada, Geometric realization of conformal field theory on Riemann surfaces, Commun. Math. Phys. 116:2, 247-308 (1988).
[20] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras, J. Am. Math. Soc. 6:4 (1993), 905-47, 949-1011.
[21] T. Kohno, Topological invariants for 3-manifolds using representations of mapping class groups, I , Topology 31:2 (1992), 203-30.
[22] M. Kontsevich, Virasoro algebra and Teichmüller spaces, Funct. Anal. Appl. 21, 156-7 (1987).
[23] V. Knizhnik, A. Zamolodchikov, Current algebras and Wess-Zumino models in two dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 247 (1984), 83-103.
[24] V. Lyubashenko, Invariants of 3-manifolds and projective representations of mapping class groups via quantum groups at roots of unity, hep-th/9405167, Commun. Math. Phys. 172 (1995), 467-516.
[25] G. Masbaum, J. Roberts, On central extensions of mapping class groups, Math. Ann. 302:1 (1995), 131-50.
[26] G. Moore, N. Seiberg, Classical and quantum conformal field theory, Commun. Math. Phys. 123 (1989), 177-254.
[27] N. Reshtikhin, V. Turaev, Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups, Invent. Math. 103 (1991), 547-97.
[28] A. Tsuchiya, K. Ueno, Y. Yamada, Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 19, 459-566 (1989).

B.E.: DMA, CNRS, ENS, 45 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France

G.F.: D-Math, ETH-Zentrum, HG G44, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland